

## Rapport

Author  
Marthinsson, Ted  
Phone  
+46105052647  
E-mail  
ted.marthinsson@afry.com

Date  
08/12/2022  
Project  
0093645

## Jämförelse mellan LFM30, Level(s) och LEED

ÅF-Infrastructure AB

Marthinsson, Ted

## Innehåll

1	Inledning .....	3
1.1	Allmänt .....	3
2	System.....	3
2.1	Level(s).....	3
2.1.1	Livscykel faser .....	3
2.1.2	Miljöpåverkanskategorier.....	3
2.1.3	Ingående byggdelar .....	4
2.1.4	Funktionell enhet.....	4
2.1.5	Referensperiod .....	4
2.1.6	Programvara .....	5
2.1.7	Indata.....	5
2.1.8	Standarder .....	5
2.1.9	Rapportering.....	5
2.1.10	Övrigt .....	5
2.2	LEED.....	6
2.2.1	Livscykel faser .....	6
2.2.2	Miljöpåverkanskategorier.....	6
2.2.3	Ingående byggdelar .....	6
2.2.4	Funktionell enhet.....	8
2.2.5	Referensperiod .....	8
2.2.6	Programvara .....	9
2.2.7	Indata.....	9
2.2.8	Standarder .....	9
2.2.9	Rapportering.....	9
2.2.10	Övrigt .....	9
2.3	Slutsats.....	10

## Bilagor

Bilaga 1 - Level(s) indicator 1.2: Life cycle Global Warming Potential (GWP) .....	11
Bilaga 2 - LEED MR Credit: Building Life-Cycle Impact Reduction .....	48

# 1 Inledning

## 1.1 Allmänt

Detta dokument ämnar jämföra LCA metodiken mellan LFM30, EU Level(s) och LEED.

# 2 System

## 2.1 Level(s)

Level(s) är ett ramverk för hållbart byggande som ska tillhandhålla ett gemensamt språk för bedömning och rapportering av byggnaders hållbarhetsprestanda.

Level(s) är uppdelat på tre olika skeden, "Levels", se nedan. Varje indikator kan tillämpas på respektive skede och ger praktisk vägledning för att minska en byggnads miljöpåverkan. Level(s) kan även användas av de som ej är särskilt insatta och innehåller mycket information kring hållbart byggande.

- Level 1 – Conceptual design
- Level 2 – Detailed design and construction
- Level 3 – As-built and in-use

Level(s) bygger på sex övergripande områden som är uppdelade på sexton indikatorer. Det första övergripande området är "1. Greenhouse gas emissions along a buildings life cycle" och hanterar växthusgasutsläpp under en byggnads livscykel och innehåller två indikatorer. Den ena indikatorn "1.1 Use stage energy performance" tittar på energianvändning (kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/yr) och den andra indikatorn "1.2 Life cycle Global Warming Potential" tittar på klimatpåverkan (CO<sub>2</sub> eq./m<sup>2</sup>/yr). Indikator 1.2 är den som kan likställas med metoden från LFM 30.

För varje indikator finns en användarmanual. "Life cycle Global Warming Potential (GWP) user manual: introductory briefing, instructions and guidance (version 1.1)" är den manual som hanterar indikator 1.2. Ändvändermanualen beskriver vilken metod som ska användas men ger även råd hur man ska gå till väga och vad man ska tänka på i respektive skede för att minska klimatpåverkan.

### 2.1.1 Livscykel faser

För nybyggnader A-D. Resultaten ska redovisas för respektive skede dvs. A, B, C och D samt totalt. För större ombyggnader: B-D dvs. de skeden som omfattas. Återvinning och återbruk av befintliga material ska inkluderas.

### 2.1.2 Miljöpåverkanskategorier

Level(s) vill att nedanstående miljöpåverkanskategorier som minst ska redovisas. Om man vill kan även övriga miljöpåverkanskategorier rapporteras.

Indicator	Unit	Product (A1-3)	Construction process (A4-5)	Use stage (B1-7)	End of life (C1-4)	Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary (D)
(1) GWP - fossil	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
(2) GWP - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
GWP – GHGs (1+2)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
(3) GWP – land use and land use change	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
GWP – overall (1+2+3)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
Notes:						

Table 1 Miljöpåverkanskategorier

### 2.1.3 Ingående byggdelar

LCA:n ska som minst omfatta följande byggdelar. Dessa listas i "User Manual 2 - Setting up a project to use the Level(s) common framework".

Table 11. Level(s) minimum scope of building parts and elements<sup>8</sup>

Building parts	Related building elements
<b>Shell (substructure and superstructure)</b>	
Foundations (substructure)	Piles Basements Retaining walls
Load bearing structural frame	Frame (beams, columns and slabs) Upper floors External walls Balconies
Non-load bearing elements	Ground floor slab Internal walls, partitions and doors Stairs and ramps
Facades	External wall systems, cladding and shading devices Façade openings (including windows and external doors) External paints, coatings and renders
Roof	Structure Weatherproofing
Parking facilities	Above ground and underground (within the curtilage of the building and servicing the building occupiers) <sup>9</sup>
<b>Core (fittings, furnishings and services)</b>	
Fittings and furnishings	Sanitary fittings Cupboards, wardrobes and worktops (where provided in residential property) Ceilings Wall and ceiling finishes Floor coverings and finishes
In-built lighting system	Light fittings Control systems and sensors
Energy system	Heating plant and distribution Cooling plant and distribution Electricity generation and distribution
Ventilation system	Air handling units Ductwork and distribution
Sanitary systems	Cold water distribution Hot water distribution Water treatment systems Drainage system
Other systems	Lifts and escalators Firefighting installations Communication and security installations Telecoms and data installations
<b>External works</b>	
Utilities	Connections and diversions Substations and equipment
Landscaping	Paving and other hard surfacing Fencing, railings and walls Drainage systems

Table 2 Ingående byggdelar

### 2.1.4 Funktionell enhet

Redovisning görs av kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq. per m<sup>2</sup> useful internal floor area.

### 2.1.5 Referensperiod

50 år

### 2.1.6 Programvara

I en separat bilaga finns en lista på godkända programvaror och databaser som kan användas. Både BM och Oneclick är med på listan. BM omfattar dock bara A1-A5.

### 2.1.7 Indata

EPD:er ska användas så långt möjligt, i andra hand kan regional eller nationell generiska data användas. En data kvalitets index ska tas fram enligt Level(s) formel och metod, se sida 34-35 i bilaga 1.

### 2.1.8 Standarder

Level(s) hänvisar till följande standarder

- ISO 14040/44
- EN 15978
- EN 15804
- ISO 15686-8
- EN 15459
- European Commission's Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) method

*(PEF är under utveckling och är en harmoniserad EU-omfattande metod för beräkning av produkters miljöavtryck, inklusive olika material som glas, kartonger, PET.)*

### 2.1.9 Rapportering

Rapportering sker online via *Level(s) Calculation and Assessment Tool*. En beskrivning av byggnaden ska fyllas i enligt "Levels building description". Ett användarkonto kan skapas av vem som helst.

### 2.1.10 Övrigt

Det ska göras en hot spot analys av de livscykelkedan, processer och byggdelar som bidrar mest till den totala klimatpåverkan, se sida 36 i bilaga 1.

För livscykelfas B6 hänvisar man i kriteriedokumentet för LCA (1.2) till kriteriedokumentet för energianvändning (1.1). Så det finns inga direkta krav på SVL och VFT.

## 2.2 LEED

LEED är ett globalt miljöcertifieringssystem som ska tillhandahålla ett ramverk för hållbart byggande och ska uppmuntra till att bygga hälsosamma, effektiva, koldioxidbesparande och kostnadsbesparande byggnader.

LEED v4.1 Building design and construction är uppdelat på 7 olika områden som är uppdelade på 66 kriterier. I området "Materials and Resources" finns kriteriet "MR Credit: Building Life-Cycle Impact Reduction" som hanterar växthusgasutsläpp under en byggnads livscykel. Det är detta kriterium som kan likställas med metoden från LFM30. Denna delas vidare upp i "Option 1. Building and Material Reuse" och "Option 2. Whole-Building Life-Cycle Assessment". Option 2 delas vidare upp i "Path 1: Maintain Existing Structural Elements: Walls, Floors, Roofs, and Envelope" och "Path 2: Maintain Interior Nonstructural Elements." De olika optionerna ska användas beroende på om det är en nybyggnad eller ombyggnad som ska certifieras.

Två beräkningar ska göras. En beräkning ska tas fram för en referensbyggnad som behöver tas fram enligt metod i LEED; tex. så måste samma programvara användas. Antalet poäng fördelas sedan efter procentuell förbättring.

Certifiering utförs i projekteringsstadium och slutskede. I slutskedet ska faktiska värden användas.

### 2.2.1 Livscykel faser

LCA:n måste omfatta systemgränserna A-D men vissa dataluckor är ok. Luckor i undermoduler är tillåtna så länge som systemgränsen omfattar en bedömning från vaggan till grav. De nödvändiga modulerna för en överensstämmelse med hela byggnadens livscykelanalys inkluderar A1-A3, A4, B1-B5, C1-C4.

### 2.2.2 Miljöpåverkanskategorier

Vilka miljöpåverkanskategorier som ska omfattas är poängberoende. Som minst ska GWP bedömas. Annars gäller följande.

- 1 poäng: Rapportering av GWP på stomme och klimatskal.
- 2 poäng: 2% reduktion i GWP och 2 andra miljöpåverkanskategorier på stomme och klimatskal.
- 3 poäng: 10% reduktion i GWP och 2 andra miljöpåverkanskategorier på stomme och klimatskal.
- 4 poäng: 20% reduktion i GWP och 10% reduktion i 2 andra miljöpåverkanskategorier samt användning av återbrukat material i stomme och klimatskal.

### 2.2.3 Ingående byggdelar

Vilka byggdelar som omfattas skiljer sig mellan nybyggnad och ombyggnad. För nybyggnad ska stomme och klimatskal bedömas. Se tabell nedan.

Element	Included	Comment (mark if something is not relevant)
Standard foundations	Yes	
Special foundations	Yes	
Slab on Grade	Yes	
Basement excavation	No	
Basement Walls	Yes	
Columns	Yes	
Beams	Yes	
Floor Construction	Yes	
Floor / Ceiling Finishes	Optional, not needed for MRc1 credit	Optional
Roof Construction	Yes	

Exterior and Semi-exterior Walls from cladding to finishing	Yes	Semi-exterior elements separate conditioned space from unconditioned space or that encloses semi-heated space (e.g., attic, crawl space, and basement)
Exterior Windows	Yes	
Exterior Doors	Yes	
Roof Coverings	Yes	
Roof Openings	Yes	
Load-Bearing partitions	Yes	
Other Partitions	Optional, not needed for MRc1 credit	Optional
Interior Doors	Optional, not needed for MRc1 credit	Optional
Fittings	No	
Stair Construction	Yes	
Stair Finishes	Optional, not needed for MRc1 credit	Optional
Wall finishes	Yes	For included walls only
Parking structures	Yes	
Parking lots	No	

Table 3 Ingående byggdelar

#### 2.2.4 Funktionell enhet

Redovisning görs av kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq. per ft<sup>2</sup>. Det står ej specificerat vilken yta som avses men en återkommande enhet i LEED är *gross floor area*.

#### 2.2.5 Referensperiod

60 år



### 2.2.6 Programvara

LCA-programvara måste ha ISO-14044-kompatibla datamängder och överensstämma med ISO 21931-2017 och/eller EN 15978:2011 och deras data måste uppfylla kraven i ISO 21930-2017 och EN 15804.

### 2.2.7 Indata

Det finns ingen särskild prioritering. Men som jag tolkar det vill de att man i första hand ska använda databaser och sedan EPD:er. Den underliggande datan måste uppfylla kraven i ISO 21930-2017 och EN 15804. EPD ska följa EN 15804 samt

- EPD får inte ha gått ut.
- EPD-scenarierna är representativa för samtida teknologier och/eller praxis och är relevanta för projektets plats.
- EPD-data rapporterar alla indikatorer och systemgränsinformation som krävs av WBLCA-verktyg.

LCA:n måste omfatta systemgränserna A-D men vissa dataluckor är ok. Luckor i undermoduler är tillåtna så länge som systemgränsen omfattar en bedömning från vagga till grav. De nödvändiga modulerna för en överensstämmelse med hela byggnadens livscykelanalys inkluderar A1-A3, A4, B1-B5, C1-C4.

### 2.2.8 Standarder

Leed hänvisar till följande standarder

- ISO 14040/44
- ISO 21931-2017
- EN 15978
- EN 15804

### 2.2.9 Rapportering

Rapportering sker via LEED Online och "Whole Building LCA Tool (WBLCA) tool". Man kan välja mellan att göra en preliminär certifiering eller endast en i slutskedet.

### 2.2.10 Övrigt

En certifiering av nybyggnationer omfattar ej rivning av den gamla byggnaden avseende LCA. Detta hanteras som en ny byggnad, därmed gäller LCA kraven för New Construction i manualen. Däremot så är det ok att räkna med återvunnet material off site. Jag förmodar att det även är ok att räkna in dessa efter rivning av en befintlig byggnad på området om man vill.

## 2.3 Slutsats

Samtliga system är lika varandra både när det gäller sättet att räkna och redovisa på. Samtliga hänvisar till samma standarder.

Den största skillnaden mellan de båda systemen och LFM30 är att systemgränserna skiljer sig åt avseende miljöpåverkanskategorier, livscykelkedan och ingående byggdelar. Även rapportering av funktionell enhet skiljer sig åt. Både Level(s) och Leed är tämligen flexibla i hur bedömningen ska göras. Det finns vissa metoder som ska följas men det viktiga är att man kan motivera valen man gör.

## JRC TECHNICAL REPORTS

# Level(s) indicator 1.2: Life cycle Global Warming Potential (GWP)

*User manual: introductory briefing,  
instructions and guidance  
(Publication version 1.1)*

Nicholas Dodd, Shane Donatello,  
Mauro Cordella (JRC, Unit B.5)

January 2021



European Commission  
Joint Research Centre  
Directorate B, Growth and Innovation  
Unit 5, Circular Economy and Industrial Leadership

*Contact information*

Shane Donatello  
Address: Edificio Expo. c/ Inca Garcilaso, 3. E-41092 Seville (Spain)  
E-mail: [jrc-b5-levels@ec.europa.eu](mailto:jrc-b5-levels@ec.europa.eu)  
<https://ec.europa.eu/jrc>  
<https://susproc.jrc.ec.europa.eu/product-bureau/product-groups/412/home>

**Legal Notice**

This publication is a Technical Report by the Joint Research Centre, the European Commission's in-house science service. It aims to provide evidence-based scientific support to the European policy-making process. The scientific output expressed does not imply a policy position of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this publication.

How to cite: Dodd N., Donatello S. & Cordella M., 2021. Level(s) indicator 1.2: Life cycle Global Warming Potential (GWP) user manual: introductory briefing, instructions and guidance (Publication version 1.1)

**Title**

Level(s) indicator 1.2: Life cycle Global Warming Potential (GWP) user manual: introductory briefing, instructions and guidance (Publication version 1.1)

**Abstract**

Developed as a common EU framework of core indicators for assessing the sustainability of office and residential buildings, Level(s) can be applied from the very earliest stages of conceptual design through to the projected end of life of the building. As well as environmental performance, which is the main focus, it also enables other important related performance aspects to be assessed using indicators and tools for health and comfort, life cycle cost and potential future risks to performance.

Level(s) aims to provide a common language of sustainability for buildings. This common language should enable actions to be taken at building level that can make a clear contribution to broader European environmental policy objectives. It is structured as follows:

1. Macro-objectives: An overarching set of 6 macro-objectives for the Level(s) framework that contribute to EU and Member State policy objectives in areas such as energy, material use, waste management, water and indoor air quality.
2. Core Indicators: A set of 16 common indicators, together with a simplified Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology, that can be used to measure the performance of buildings and their contribution to each macro-objective.

In addition, the Level(s) framework aims to promote life cycle thinking. It guides users from an initial focus on individual aspects of building performance towards a more holistic perspective, with the aim of wider European use of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Life Cycle Cost Assessment (LCCA) methods.

## Contents

The Level(s) document structure .....	4
How this indicator user manual works .....	5
Technical terms and definitions used .....	6
Introductory briefing.....	8
Instructions on how to use the indicator at each level .....	10
Instructions for Level 1 .....	10
Instructions for Level 2 .....	12
Instructions for Level 3 .....	14
Guidance and further information for using the indicator .....	15
For using level 1 .....	15
L1.2. Step 4: Using and interpreting existing life cycle assessment studies and literature .....	15
L1.4. General background to the life cycle design concept checklist .....	16
For using level 2 .....	18
L2.2. Step 2: Selecting software tools and databases.....	18
L2.2. Step 3a: The calculation process for life cycle GWP.....	21
L2.2. Step 3b: Calculation rules relating to the life cycle stages.....	24
L2.2. Step 4: Scenarios for the building life cycle.....	27
L2.2. Steps 5 and 6: Data selection and quality .....	33
L2.2. Step 10: Carrying out a hot spot analysis.....	36
L2.6. Going a step further: Cradle to grave Life Cycle Assessment.....	36

## The Level(s) document structure



Figure 1. The Level(s) document structure

## How this indicator user manual works

Level(s) is a framework of core indicators of sustainability that can be applied to building projects in order to report on and improve their performance. The supporting documentation has been designed to be accessible to all the actors that may be involved in this process.

If you are new to the assessment of building sustainability, we recommend reading the **first part of the Level(s) user manual**. This will provide you with an introduction to the basic concepts behind Level(s) and how you can apply it to a building project.

If you haven't yet set up your building project to use Level(s), including completing the project plan and the building description, then we recommend reading the **second part of the Level(s) user manual**.

**This indicator user manual forms part of the third part of the Level(s) user manual** where you will find instructions on how to use the indicators themselves. It is designed to help you apply your chosen indicator to a building project. It will help you to do this in the following way:

- **Introductory briefing:** This section provides an overview of the indicator, including:
  - ✓ why you may wish to measure performance with it,
  - ✓ what it measures,
  - ✓ at which stages in a project it can be used,
  - ✓ the unit of measurement, and
  - ✓ the relevant calculation method and reference standards.
- **Instructions on how to use the indicators at each level:** This section provides:
  - ✓ step by step instructions for each level,
  - ✓ what is needed to make an assessment,
  - ✓ a design concept checklist (at Level 1), and
  - ✓ the reporting formats.

The instructions often refer to the guidance and further information section, which can be found after the instructions.

- **Guidance and further information for using the indicator:** This section provides more background information and guidance to support you in following specific steps in the instructions, including the design concepts introduced at Level 1 and the practical steps to calculate or measure performance at Levels 2 and 3. They are all cross-referenced to specific instruction steps at either level 1, 2 or 3.

This indicator user manual is structured so that once you are familiar with using the indicator and you know how to work with it, you may no longer need to refer to the guidance and background information, but only work directly with the instructions at the level of your choice.

## Technical terms and definitions used

Term	Definition
Biogenic carbon	carbon derived from biomass
Biomass	material of biological origin excluding material embedded in geological formations and material transformed to fossilized material, excluding peat
Carbon dioxide equivalent (CO <sub>2</sub> e)	unit for comparing the radiative forcing of a greenhouse gas to that of carbon dioxide
Carbon footprint (or whole life carbon measurement)	sum of greenhouse gas emissions and removals in a product system, expressed as CO <sub>2</sub> equivalents and based on a life cycle assessment using the single impact category of climate change
Carbon storage	carbon removed from the atmosphere and stored as carbon in a product
Design life	service life intended by the designer
Direct land use change (dLUC)	change in human use or management of land within the product system being assessed
Exported energy	energy, expressed per energy carrier, delivered by the technical building systems through the system boundary and used outside the system boundary
Fossil carbon	carbon which is contained in fossilised material
Functional unit	quantified performance of a product system for use as a reference unit
Global Warming Potential (GWP)	characterization factor describing the radiative forcing impact of one mass-based unit of a given greenhouse gas relative to that of carbon dioxide over a given period of time
Greenhouse gas (GHG)	gaseous constituent of the atmosphere, both natural and anthropogenic, that absorbs and emits radiations at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of infrared radiation emitted by the Earth's surface, the atmosphere, and clouds
Greenhouse gas emission	mass of a greenhouse gas released to the atmosphere
Greenhouse gas emission factor	mass of a greenhouse gas emitted relative to an input or output of a unit process or a combination of unit processes
Greenhouse gas sink	process that removes a greenhouse gas from the atmosphere
Indirect land use change (iLUC)	change in the use or management of land which is a consequence of direct land use change but which occurs outside the product system being assessed
Maintenance	combination of all technical and associated administrative actions during the service life to retain a building or an assembled system (part of works) in a state in which it can perform its required functions
Offsetting	mechanism for compensating for all or for a part of the carbon footprint through the prevention of the release of, reduction in, or removal of an amount of greenhouse gas emissions in a process outside the boundary of the product system
Operational energy use	energy use of the building-integrated technical systems during use and operation of the building



Term	Definition
Operational water use	water use of the building-integrated technical systems and of the user, as needed for the technically and functionally defined operation of the building
Primary energy	energy from renewable and non- renewable sources which has not undergone any conversion or transformation process.
(Total) Primary energy factor	for a given energy carrier, non-renewable and renewable primary energy divided by delivered energy, where the primary energy is that required to supply one unit of delivered energy, taking account of the energy required for extraction, processing, storage, transport, generation, transformation, transmission, distribution, and any other operations necessary for delivery to the building in which the delivered energy will be used
Reference study period	period over which the time-dependent characteristics of the object of assessment are analysed
Refurbishment	modification and improvements to an existing building to bring it up to an acceptable condition
Repair	returning an item to an acceptable condition through the renewal, replacement or mending of worn, damaged or degraded parts
Required service life	service life required by the client or through regulations
Scenario	collection of assumptions and information concerning an expected sequence of possible future events
Service life	period of time after installation during which a building or an assembled system (part of works) meets or exceeds the technical requirements and functional requirements
Technical building system	technical equipment for heating, cooling, ventilation, domestic hot water, lighting and electricity production

## Introductory briefing

### Indicator 1.2: Life cycle Global Warming Potential (GWP)

**Note for users:** Once users have gained experience using this indicator, these instructions can also be followed in order to go a step further and carry out a cradle to grave Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) involving other impact categories than GWP.

#### Why measure performance with this indicator?

This indicator aims to quantify the Global Warming Potential (GWP) contributions of a building along its life cycle from the 'cradle' (the extraction of the raw materials that are used construction the building) through to the 'grave' (the deconstruction of the building and how to deal with its building materials, i.e. recovery, reuse, recycling and disposal).

Carbon emissions embodied in building materials are brought together with direct and indirect carbon emissions from use stage performance (e.g. energy consumption and water consumption) in this indicator. Cradle to grave thinking allows for building design solutions that seek the optimum balance between embodied carbon and use stage carbon emissions. In particular with embodied carbon, it is important to recognise that buildings are a significant material bank, being a repository for carbon intensive resources over many decades, and so it is important to explore designs that facilitate the future reuse and recycling at the end of the building life.

#### What does it measure?

This indicator measures the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with the building at different stages along the life cycle. It therefore measures the building's contribution to emissions that contribute towards the earth's global warming, and the associated effects on climate change. This is sometimes referred to as a carbon footprint assessment or whole life carbon measurement.

#### At what stage of a project?

Level	Activities related to the use of indicator 1.2
1. Conceptual design (following design principles)	✓ Aspects of the building that contribute most to GHG emissions, or 'hot spots', along a buildings life cycle can be identified in order to improve design concepts;
2. Detailed design and construction (based on calculations, simulations and drawings)	✓ The greenhouse gas emissions associated with a building design and each life cycle stage can be calculated and modelled. The emissions from different design scenarios and future life cycle scenarios can be tested;
3. As-built and in-use (based on commissioning, testing and metering)	✓ The building materials used and assumptions made in order to calculate the life cycle greenhouse gas emissions can be validated against the as-built information as it becomes available.

#### Unit of measurement

The indicator is measured according to the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of the greenhouse gases emitted. The unit of measurement is kg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents per m<sup>2</sup> useful internal floor area for a reference study period of 50 years. The results are to be reported for each life cycle stage, of which there are four – production (A), use (B), end of life (C) and additional benefits and loads (D).

In cases where users wish to go a further step and carry out a cradle to grave LCA using the same methodology as for indicator 1.2, then the indicator will also report on nine other environmental impact category indicators in addition to GWP. These indicators are detailed further in the supporting guidance under L2.6.

#### System boundary

The system boundary is 'cradle to grave' as defined by EN 15978, i.e. from the production of building materials to the end of the building's useful life and the subsequent demolition and recovery of the building materials. It is

defined in terms of life cycle stages, which are in turn split into modules as defined by EN 15978:

- The product stage (A1-5)
- The use stage (B1-6)
- End of life stage (C1-4)
- Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary (D)

This boundary includes both the assessment of use stage greenhouse gas emissions (those directly associated with the energy used for heating, cooling and supplying electricity to a building), and 'embodied' greenhouse gas emissions (those that are indirectly the result of the construction, repair, maintenance, renovation and eventual deconstruction of a building). Emissions are accounted for in the life cycle stage where they occur so if, for example, a renovation takes place, the emissions associated with new building materials would be allocated to the use stage.

### **Scope**

For the purpose of comparability, a minimum scope of building elements, components, products and materials to be assessed is defined within the Level(s) building description (see the User Manual 2 document – Completing the building description).

### **Calculation method and reference standards**

The main reference standard providing the calculation method is EN 15978. Reference is also made to ISO 14040/44, EN 15804 and the European Commission's Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) method. The detailed calculation method, including the 'characterisation factors' used to convert energy use to CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions (the Global Warming Potential), is summarised in the accompanying technical guidance in this document. The guidance assumes that calculations are made using a software tool that is pre-programmed with calculation routines from the EN 15978 standard.

## Instructions on how to use the indicator at each level

### Instructions for Level 1

#### L1.1. The purpose of this level

This Level is for those who do not intend to *calculate* the life cycle GWP emissions of their building project. Instead it provides instructions on:

- How to incorporate some important life cycle concepts into design concepts and, later, into detailed designs.
- How to interpret and use the results of previously carried out life cycle GWP assessments and Life Cycle Assessments that are based on the analysis of similar building types.

#### L1.2. Step-by-step instructions

*These instructions should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Level 1 technical guidance and supporting information (see page 15).*

1. Read the section on Whole Life Cycle thinking in User Manual 1, if required.
2. Make sure to have completed the Level(s) building description (see User Manual 2 for further details), as some of the information may be needed to check the relevance of design concepts.
3. Consult the checklist of life cycle design concepts in section L1.4 below and read the background descriptions in Level 1 supporting guidance later in this document.
4. *Optional step:* make a review of relevant LCA/whole life carbon studies of similar building types in the same country and, preferably, the same region or locality.
5. *Optional step:* Interpret and identify 'hot spots' and recommendations for improvements along the building life cycle from the studies reviewed.
6. Within the design team, review and identify options for using the life cycle design concepts and for addressing the hot spots identified from previous studies.
7. Once the design concept is finalised with the client, record the life cycle design concepts that were taken into account using the L1 reporting format.

#### L1.3. Who should be involved and when?

Actors involved at the conceptual design stage, usually led by the concept architect. The life cycle design concepts can be further explored once professionals such as a structural engineer, quantity surveyor and property market expert become involved in the project.

#### L1.4. Checklist of relevant design concepts

The following design concepts have been identified from a review of scientific literature by the Joint Research Centre as having a basis in a robust life cycle analysis of hot spots for environmental impact. Moreover, they provide scope to inform design concepts and to improve performance without necessarily having to make a new Life cycle GWP assessment.

Level 1 design concept	Brief description
1. Efficient building shape and form	✓ Minimise the surface area to volume ratio of a building and individual residential units, as well as its height, in order to improve material efficiency and minimise energy use.
2. Optimised NZEB construction	✓ Consider the potential trade-off between reducing CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in the use stage to achieve NZEB performance levels and the embodied energy CO <sub>2</sub> emissions associated with the manufacture of higher performance insulation,

Level 1 design concept	Brief description
	façade and wall systems, windows, structural thermal mass and renewable energy technologies.
3. Optimised material utilisation and circular value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Consider the feasibility of reusing the structure of an existing building or for optimising the structural design of a new building in order to minimise material use.</li> <li>✓ Consider options to minimise or even design out waste generated during product manufacturing, construction on-site in order to optimise the utilisation of materials on a construction site.</li> </ul>
4. Extending building and component service lives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Consider the options to extend the lifespan of significant building components and to minimise the number of replacement and renovation cycles.</li> </ul>
5. Design for adaptability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Consider the potential of the building design to adapt and be flexible to changing market and occupier needs in the future so as to extend the life of the building, including its structure and main elements.</li> </ul>
6. Design for deconstruction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Consider how the building design and information records about the building's material bank can facilitate future end of life deconstruction in order to recover materials for re-use and recycling.</li> </ul>

It can be seen that improvements against many of these 'hot spots' can be measured using other indicators from the Level(s) framework. For example, indicator 1.1 (use stage energy consumption), indicator 2.1 (bill of quantities, materials and lifespans), indicator 2.2 (construction and demolition waste and materials), indicator 2.3 (design for adaptability and renovation) and indicator 2.4 (design for deconstruction and recycling).

**L1.5. Reporting format**

To complete the reporting format for Level 1 you should answer yes or no for each of the design concepts that you have addressed and then provide brief descriptions of the measures or decisions taken for each one.

Life cycle design concept	Addressed? <i>(yes/no)</i>	How has it been incorporated into the building design concept? <i>(provide a brief description)</i>
1. Efficient building shape and form		
2. Optimised NZEB construction		
3. Optimised material utilisation and circular value		
4. Extending building and component service lives		
5. Design for adaptability		
6. Design for deconstruction		

## **Instructions for Level 2**

### **L2.1. The purpose of this level**

This Level is for those who intend to calculate the life cycle GWP emissions of their building project. It provides instructions on:

- How to use the Level(s) building description.
- How to select software tools and databases.
- The basic parts of the calculation and the calculation steps according to the standard EN 15978.
- Additional information and assumptions beyond the EN standard that can be used to make a calculation, including default parameters that shall be used and data gap filling.
- How to interpret and use the results from a 'hot spot' analysis.

The instructions and methodology may also be used by those who wish to go a step further and carry out a cradle to grave LCA.

### **L2.2. Step-by-step instructions**

*The assessment process must be followed in order to produce reproducible and comparable results. It is important that all assumptions used and any deviations from the standardised method are recorded in the reporting for indicator 1.2 and, if you go a step further, for a cradle to grave LCA. Also see the accompanying Level 2 technical guidance and supporting information (see page 18).*

1. Make sure to have completed the Level(s) building description, as the information is required in order to make the assessment (see User Manual 2 for further details).
2. Select a life cycle software tool that can be setup to make life cycle calculations according to EN 15978 for the GWP impact category and ensure that you or a relevant team member using it has at least basic training in its use (consider the supporting guidance later in this document when deciding which software tool(s) to use).
3. Setup the model for the project based on the Level(s) building description and follow the detailed guidance later in this document for defining the scope of building elements, the reference study period, the use of cut-offs and the scope of life cycle stages.
4. Determine the scope of the life cycle scenarios that will be calculated – consult the detailed guidance in order to select the scenarios recommended for Level(s) and to develop assumptions.
5. Identify data sources from available databases, including EPDs, and use representative average data and additional data to fill any gaps (consider the supporting guidance later in this document which database(s) to use).
6. Make a classification of the data quality of each source of data using the data quality assessment method (see the supporting guidance for level 2 later in this document).
7. Process the data and assumptions using the chosen life cycle software tool.
8. Use the chosen software tool to compile the life cycle inventory and calculate the related impacts for the impact category life cycle GWP.
9. Going a step further: If an LCA software has been used, the option can be taken to calculate the impacts for the full set of environmental impact categories specified in EN 15978.
10. Interpret the results, which could include analysis of different designs, the identification of hotspots, the identification of any trade-offs and accounting for uncertainty and the quality of data.
11. Within the design team, review and identify options for addressing the hot spots identified, as well as any trade-offs.

12. If possible, make improvements to the design until reaching a design freeze prior to going to market to select a contractor.
13. Complete the reporting format with the results and main assumptions, together with a concise background report for the client.

**L2.3. What do you need to make an assessment?**

The main items needed are as follows:

- ✓ a completed Level(s) building description,
- ✓ the complete bill of quantities for the building design(s) that will be modelled,
- ✓ a calculation software tool with the correct functionalities and
- ✓ access to databases and EPDs that have a good coverage of the construction products planned to be used.

**L2.4. Who should be involved and when?**

Those members of the project team involved at the design stage should be involved, led by the technical architect. The support of other professionals such as a structural engineer, quantity surveyor, contractors (for demolition and construction) and a property market expert may become relevant for the modelling of certain life cycle scenarios.

**L2.5. Ensuring the comparability of results**

The standardised basis for ensuring the comparability of Level(s) life cycle GWP assessments is:

- A calculation routine that is compliant with EN 15978.
- A calculation routine that is compliant with the additional Level(s) requirements, as required for any software tools used.
- The use of life cycle inventory data from EPDs and databases that are compliant with EN 15804 and for which a data quality assessment has been provided, as a minimum according to the Level(s) guidance (see the supporting guidance later in this document, L2.4: Steps 5-6).

**L2.6. Going a step further**

Once users have gained experience with following the instructions and obtaining results for the single environmental impact category, life cycle GWP, there is the potential to use Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) software in order to obtain results for the full set of environmental impact categories specified in EN 15978. The steps to follow are essentially the same, being based on the preparation of life cycle inventory data, but the main difference can be found in the results and their interpretation. The relevant impact categories are detailed in the guidance under L2.6.

**L2.7. Format for reporting the results of an assessment**

The reporting format for GWP at each life cycle stage is presented in tabular form below.

Indicator	Unit	Product (A1-3)	Construction process (A4-5)	Use stage (B1-7)	End of life (C1-4)	Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary (D)
(1) GWP - fossil	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
(2) GWP - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
GWP – GHGs (1+2)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
(3) GWP – land use and land use change	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
GWP – overall (1+2+3)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
<i>Notes:</i>						

Impacts referred to the use of 1 m<sup>2</sup> of useful internal floor per year for a default reference study period of 50 years<sup>1</sup>.

### ***Instructions for Level 3***

The same procedure and instructions as defined in Level 2 can be equally applied to the building assessment after its construction or renovation. The only difference would be that the design data is supported by the certainty of materials procured and technical building systems installed instead of being based on a design only.

---

<sup>1</sup> A further table shall be prepared if, in addition to the reference study period, an intended service life has been modelled.



## Guidance and further information for using the indicator

### *For using level 1*

Additional background guidance and explanations are provided for two key concepts introduced in the Level 1 life cycle GWP design concept checklist, namely:

- L1.2. Step 4: Using and interpreting existing life cycle assessment studies and literature
- L1.4. General background to life cycle design concept checklist

### **L1.2. Step 4: Using and interpreting existing life cycle assessment studies and literature**

A brief introduction is provided here to some of the key factors to take into account when reviewing life cycle GWP or LCA studies. Note that LCA studies often include assessment of the impact category life cycle GWP.

At a basic level, the following information shall be gathered about each study reviewed:

- General information: year of publication, authors, journal/source, article title, region.
- Life cycle stages considered: manufacture, Use, End-of-life, System boundaries.
- Technical aspects: the functional unit, building lifetime, type of building (object of assessment).
- Methodological aspects: environmental impact categories, assessment method, main database used, software, data quality and data quality rating.
- Results and interpretation: hot spots, technology comparison.

These following factors are important because they can help to understand the basis on which the study has been carried out and the extent to which the findings can be relevant to your specific project. The reason for collecting this information is explained further below for some of the most important items:

- Object of the studies: The building(s) studied should have representative features of the building project being assessed using Level(s).
- Goal and scope: Ideally studies should have a scope that is cradle-to-grave.
- Functional unit, system boundaries and life time:
  - The functional unit refers to a quantified performance of a product system for use for comparisons on the basis for functional equivalence in LCA studies. Findings from studies are difficult to compare if a different functional units have been used e.g. impacts normalised by m<sup>2</sup> of floor area or with impacts normalised by occupation.
  - The system boundary describes which processes are taken into account in the LCA analysis and which processes are not. For example, the manufacturing of construction products for repair or replacement.
  - The lifetime is the reference duration that the building will be in service. The default for life cycle GWP and LCA in Level(s) is 50 years.
- Time-related coverage of data: This refers to the year the inventory data of the analysis is based on. Studies should ideally be less than 4 years old.
- Comprehensiveness and robustness: This refers to which environmental impacts are considered in the study. Only GWP is relevant in all cases for indicator 1.2. If users wish to look further (i.e. beyond GWP impacts) the other impact categories should be compared with those specified by EN 15978. Attention should also be paid to the LCA methodologies used.

- Assumptions: It is important to understand some of the main technical assumptions made in the selected life cycle GWP or LCA studies. These include how the specification of the building and its services, how the building is used by the occupants and by how many future repair/replacement cycles are foreseen.
- Data quality level: The mix of primary and secondary data sources are important to understand as it will determine the extent to which the results reflect the real specifications of the building studied or a building with generic construction materials. The time-related, geographical and technological representativeness of the selected LCA studies are important aspects of any data quality assessment.

#### **L1.4. General background to the life cycle design concept checklist**

As part of the development of Level(s), the JRC made a review of LCA studies in published literature in order to identify the key hot spots for the environmental impact of buildings. This literature and the hot spots identified from it, forms the basis for the Level 1 design checklist. The background to each of the checklist items is briefly summarised below, together with identification of the life cycle stages that can be influenced.

##### **Design concept 1: Efficient building shape and form**

*Life cycle stages influenced:* prior to stages A1-3

The surface area to volume ratio of a building, also sometimes referred to as its density or compactness, as well as its height, strongly influences the material efficiency and energy use of a building. A more compact building form may use more than 20% less materials in construction and consume 20% less energy in the use stage. The intensity of use of a building also influences its material efficiency. This can be measured on a temporal basis (e.g. the proportion of time the space is used during the day or week) or a functional basis (e.g. use of the resource invested in the building per household, person or workstation instead of per m<sup>2</sup>).

##### **Design concept 2: Optimised NZEB construction**

*Life cycle stages influenced:* A1-3, B4-6

This aspect applies to both new-build and major renovation projects, in which the trade-off between the following life cycle modules should be considered. For new buildings, the construction phase has become proportionally more important as new buildings are now required to achieve high performance in Nearly Zero Energy (NZEB) standards:

- Use stage energy consumption (B6): The use phase of buildings is the most important life cycle hot spot for old buildings that may undergo renovation. This is because of primary energy use for, in particular, space heating, hot water and lighting;.
- Production stage energy consumption (A1-3): The move to nearly zero energy buildings (NZEBs), whilst reducing use-stage energy consumption, requires more embodied energy to be used to manufacture higher performance insulation, windows, façade systems, thermal mass and renewable energy technologies.

From a life cycle CO<sub>2</sub> emissions perspective, a building structure can account for some 30-64% of embodied emissions. Facades made of glass and metal are a specific consideration, as they may have a replacement cycle of less than 20-30 years, so a focus on use stage B4 and potentially also end of life stages C1 and C4 would be beneficial. The replacement cycle for certain façade designs is a key consideration because of the tendency towards the use of flexible curtain wall systems incorporating glazing and louvres.

Considerations at the point of renovation are components such as new paint, window frames and copper piping and wiring. This is because as well as contributing to life cycle GWP, they have the potential to contribute to toxicity impact categories.

##### **Design concept 3: Optimised material use cycles**

*Life cycle stages influenced:* A1-3

As has already been mentioned, the structure of a building in can typically account for over half of the embodied greenhouse gas emissions associated with construction. By reusing the structure of an existing building or by

optimising the structural design of a new building, significant reductions in material use and associated GHG emissions can be made. Evidence suggests that by optimising structural designs, material use can be reduced by 20-36% whilst still maintaining the required technical characteristics.

**Design concept 4: Extending building and component service lives**

*Life cycle stages influenced: B4-6*

The lifespan, or useful service life of the building, as well the service life of its components, are important factors influencing construction and use phase impacts. In general, the more replacement and renovation cycles that are needed, the greater the embodied impact. This is particularly the case for the renovation of building services, including wiring and piping, as well as finishes, such as paints.

**Design concept 5: Design for adaptability**

*Life cycle stages influenced: B4-6*

The potential for buildings to adapt and be flexible to changing market and occupier needs will extend the lifespan of the building, including its structure and main elements. Considerations include:

- Adaption to the changing needs of occupiers over time, and adaption to changing demands in the property market over time.
- More efficient use of space: More effective usage as occupier needs change, for example as a business or family expands, which in turn may bring higher space utilisation;
- Increased longevity: Extension of the total lifetime of a building, ensuring that this lifetime reflects the design life of components and major structural elements.
- Improved operational performance: Ease of change to new, more efficient technology as it becomes available.
- Adaption to new technology, with the potential for future upgrades of lighting, heating, cooling, ventilation and energy generation systems.

Major changes to a building's internal layout and fittings can contribute to significant aggregate embodied emissions over a 50 year reference service life. Measures that can minimise the number of interventions needed to keep the building on the property market will thus have a positive effect. Specific design aspects that can be taken into account are listed under indicator 2.3.

**Design concept 6: Design for deconstruction**

*Life cycle stages influenced: C1-4*

Waste generated during product manufacturing, construction on-site and demolition processes can account for a significant proportion of the overall material flows on a construction site and, if not reused or recycled, can lead to wasted resources.

Building elements such as structures, envelopes and facades account for the majority of the embodied environmental impacts of constructing a building. As a result, any progress to achieve 'circularity' by reusing these materials – either in situ within a new building or on another site, or by recycling them to make new building products – will serve to progressively reduce the embodied life cycle impacts of the building sector as a whole.

Buildings can be designed so that at the end of their useful life, they can easily be deconstructed, thereby making it easier to access and use the buildings material bank. Specific design aspects that can be taken into account are listed under indicator 2.4.

## ***For using level 2***

This guidance is directed at entry-level users and assumes that a software tool will be used to obtain results. It therefore focusses on aiding a user's understanding of the basic processes that they will have to understand when using a software tool that follows EN 15978 calculation routines and in order to make decisions about the data used. The specific topics covered are as follows:

- L2.2 – Step 2: Selecting software tools and databases
- L2.4 – Step 3: The calculation process for life cycle GWP
- L2.4 – Step 3: Calculation rules relating to the life cycle stages
- L2.4 – Step 4: Scenarios for the building life cycle
- L2.4 – Step 10: Carrying out a hot spot analysis
- L2.4 – Step 5 and 6: Data selection and quality
- L2.6 - Going a step further – Optimisation steps to improve the assessment and building performance

### **L2.2. Step 2: Selecting software tools and databases**

In order to use indicator 1.2, it is assumed that in most cases a software calculator tool will be used. To further support Level(s) users, a listing has therefore been developed of software calculation tools and supporting databases that can be used to make an assessment using indicator 1.2. The listing is provided separately to this user manual and can be downloaded [here](#).

The listing classifies tools and databases according to a list of parameters and criteria addressing three key aspects that they offer in varying degrees:

- **Comprehensiveness:** Whether the tools are specific for construction, the building elements they cover, the life cycle stages they cover and the indicators for which they calculate results.
- **Robustness:** The extent to which the calculation rules are aligned with EN 15978/15804, how data quality is accounted for and transparency in reporting data sources and assumptions.
- **Operability:** Accessibility of the software to users, interoperability with other software, the cost and available training and support.

#### **Learn more about:**

Minimum requirements that tools and databases shall fulfil to support a Level(s) assessment

##### *Comprehensiveness*

- Level(s) compliance-tick mark box

##### *Robustness*

- Alignment of EPDs with EN 15804+A2
- High-quality and industry-specific data

##### *Operability*

- User-friendliness, the availability of training and flexible pricing
- Interoperability, plug-in info, import/export interfaces for relevant data formats (e.g. to read in data from BIM and other CAD systems, and to exchange LCI data).

##### *Additional requisites*

- 'Official' approval and validation of tools by national authorities
- External independent and qualified review of data

Developers and users were consulted to provide input with respect to the tools and databases available on the market, the classification criteria and the key characteristics of the tools and databases. The classification is based on what has been judged to be relevant information for users of the Level(s) guidance, but is not meant as a ranking

system. Possible commercial bias in providing the information has been controlled through additional verification by experts.

Table 1 provides an overview of the criteria used to list and provide information about available LCA software and databases.

Table 1. The Level(s) criteria used to list LCA software and databases

Parameter	Software tools	Databases
A. Comprehensiveness		
A1) Construction-specificity	I) Construction-specific II) Broader scope	I) Construction-specific II) Broader scope
A2) System boundaries & scope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allowing the assessment of the following EN 15978 modules               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A0: 'Pre-construction stage'</li> <li>A1-A3: 'Product stage' (material extraction and processing, transport, manufacturing)</li> <li>A4-A5: 'Construction process stage' (transport to the building site, and installation)</li> <li>B1-B5: 'Use stage – building fabric' (use or application, maintenance, repair, replacement, refurbishment)</li> <li>B6-B7: 'Use stage - operation of the building' (operational energy and water use)</li> <li>B8: users' activities</li> <li>C1-C4: 'End-of-life stage' (de-construction &amp; demolition, transport, waste processing for reuse, recovery and/or recycling, disposal)</li> <li>D: 'Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary'</li> </ul> </li> </ul> Extra: Separate reporting [Y/N] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Databases used</li> <li>End of Life scenario information and modelling (if applicable)</li> <li>Languages available</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allowing the assessment of the following EN 15804 modules               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A1-A3: 'Product stage' (material extraction and processing, transport, manufacturing)</li> <li>A4-A5: 'Construction process stage' (transport to the building site, and installation)</li> <li>B1-B5: 'Use stage – building fabric' (use or application, maintenance, repair, replacement, refurbishment)</li> <li>B6-B7: 'Use stage - operation of the building' (operational energy and water use)</li> <li>B8: users' activities</li> <li>C1-C4: 'End-of-life stage' (de-construction &amp; demolition, transport, waste processing for reuse, recovery and/or recycling, disposal)</li> <li>D: 'Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary'</li> </ul> </li> </ul> Extra: Separate reporting [Y/N] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>End of Life scenario information (if applicable)</li> <li>Countries covered</li> <li>Languages available</li> </ul>
A3) Indicators	I) Full coverage of indicators set in EN 15978:2011 II) Full coverage of indicators set in EN 15978:2011 III) Full coverage of indicators set in EN 15978:2011 IV) Partial coverage of indicators set in EN 15978:2011 in addition to GHG emissions (please specify which indicators) V) GHG emissions only  Extra: LCC coverage	I) Full coverage of indicators set in EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 II) Full coverage of indicators set in EN 15804:2012+A1:2013 III) Full coverage of indicators set in EN 15804:2012+A1:2013 IV) Partial coverage of indicators set in EN 15804:2012+A1:2013 in addition to GHG emissions (please specify which indicators) V) GHG emissions only  Extra: LCC coverage
A4) Modelling granularity	Options for the assessment, from the most to the least detailed: a) Specific parts of the building	Options for the assessment, from the most to the least detailed: a) Building material

Parameter	Software tools	Databases
	b) Whole building, with enough flexibility to adapt to specificities of the building c) Whole building  <b>Note: a part can refer to one or more construction products</b>	b) Whole building part with enough flexibility to adapt to specificities of the product c) Whole building part  <b>Note: a part can refer to one or more construction products</b>
<b>B. Robustness</b>		
B1) Methodological adherence to Levels and EN standards	I) Aligned with EN 15978 with extension to fit with Level(s) II) Aligned with EN 15978 – either based on self-declaration or external evaluation III) Not aligned for specific aspects  <b>Note: Class I recommended</b>	I) Aligned with EN 15804 with extension to fit with Level(s) II) Aligned with EN 15804 III) Not aligned for specific aspects  Extra: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EN 15804:2012+A1:2013 or EN 15804:2012+A2:2019</li> </ul> <b>Note: Class I recommended</b>
B2) Data quality	The tool supports: a) Reliability assessment of the quality of the data input b) Sensitivity analysis (e.g. check influence of parameters and datasets on results) c) Uncertainty analysis (e.g. check variability of results) d) Scenario analysis (e.g. check of alternative options) e) None of them	The database provides/enables data quality assessment for the following aspects: a) Geographical representativeness (e.g. local vs. EU/global average) b) Time-related representativeness (e.g. plausible until a certain year) c) Technological representativeness (e.g. material-specific vs. generic) d) Uncertainty analysis is supported (e.g. uncertainty distributions provided) e) None of them  Extra: Specify whether the database provides data quality assessment or enables it when adapting a dataset.  <b>Note: The provision and use of high-quality and representative data (in terms of geography, time, technology, and accuracy) is recommended</b>
B3) Transparency and verification	I) Sources of information, key data and modelling assumptions are trackable and verifiable, or have been documented in detail inside the software (data available and accessible at unit process level) II) Sources of information, key data and modelling assumptions are reported, but they are not trackable and verifiable nor they have been documented in detail inside the software III) Sources of information, key data and modelling assumptions are not documented inside the software  Extra:	I) Sources of information, key data and modelling assumptions are trackable and verifiable, or have been documented in detail (data available and accessible at unit process level) II) Sources of information, key data and modelling assumptions are reported, but they are not trackable and verifiable nor they have been documented in detail III) Sources of information, key data and modelling assumptions are not documented  Extra: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proportion (%) of data that has been verified by a third party</li> </ul>

Parameter	Software tools	Databases
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proportion (%) of data that has been verified by a third party</li> <li>National authority validation</li> </ul> <p><b>Note: Third party verification and national authority validation are recommended</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National authority validation</li> </ul> <p><b>Note: Third party verification and national authority validation are recommended</b></p>
<b>C. Operability</b>		
C1) Accessibility	a) Web interface b) Excel-based tool c) Software to install on a computer/server  <p><b>Note: options not listed in a hierarchy order</b></p>	a) Datasets provided at the detail level of unit processes b) System process datasets or EPDs providing elementary flows and/or quantified indicators ("black-boxes") c) Information locked in specific software
C2) Data exchange & interoperability	I) Import/export of design and LCA information possible II) Import/export of design information possible (e.g. BIM) III) Import/export of LCA information possible IV) No import/export possible  Extra: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision of conversion factors between measurement units [Y/N]</li> <li>Software-independency [Y/N]</li> </ul> <p><b>Note: Class I recommended</b></p>	I) Import/export of LCA information possible (e.g. import new material EPDs into the database) II) No import/export possible  Extra: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provision of conversion factors between measurement units [Y/N]</li> <li>Software-independency [Y/N]</li> </ul> <p><b>Note: Class I recommended</b></p>
C3) Cost	I) Freely available II) Available at a commercial price	I) Freely available II) Available at a commercial price
C4) Training and support	a) Demo version, documentation and/or initial training available for free b) Long distance learning offered c) After sale support offered (e.g. helpdesk) d) No training support  <p><b>Note: a+b+c recommended</b></p>	a) Demo version, documentation, and/or initial training available for free b) Long distance learning offered c) After care support offered (e.g. helpdesk) d) No training support  <p><b>Note: a+b+c recommended</b></p>
D. Additional information	Example: - Background information about maturity, development, management and update of the tool	Example: - Background information about maturity, development, management and update of the database - Breadth of the database: number of materials, types of construction materials and equipment covered, level of detail, level of adaptation provided.

### L2.2. Step 3a: The calculation process for life cycle GWP

The Level 2 instructions provide a set of generic steps that users can follow in order to make an assessment. The standardised basis for these steps is the methodological process set out in EN 15978. Figure 2 provides an overview of the methodological steps in EN 15978. The first two steps are already be addressed by completing the Level(s) building description, which provides the following items of data:

- The intended use of the building: The building type and conditions of use.
- The functional unit of equivalence: kg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents per m<sup>2</sup> of useful floor area over a reference study period of 50 years.
- The system boundary: The whole 'cradle to grave' life cycle encompassing stages A,B,C and D for which calculations shall be made.
- The building model: The minimum scope of building elements for which calculations shall be made.

This information can then be used as the basis for setting up the model of the building's life cycle. More information about the mass and energy flows, for which data will be required, is provided in the box below. In the case of any significant gaps in the data, assumptions or scenarios for specific life cycle stages or building elements, default or generic data, assumptions or scenarios may be used but must be declared in the reporting.

**Learn more about:**

*The mass and energy flows used to make the calculations*

The indicator calculates the Global Warming Potential along the life cycle of a building by splitting the greenhouse emissions that arise at different life cycle stages into:

1. Direct emissions, e.g. those coming from on-site power generation, refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment.
2. Indirect emissions, i.e. those coming from production and distribution of electricity and steam/heat used in the building and from the production and supply of materials and construction products of which the building is made up. For construction products, the term 'embodied' emissions is often used.

Mass and energy flows of the building have to be quantified based upon the design description of the building (a new building or refurbishment of an existing building) or with the actual quantities (post-completion, post-refurbishment) and the scenarios for each module of the life cycle of the object of assessment.

To facilitate the quantification, the building is separated into:

- its constituent parts (all building elements, building components, building products, building materials), which are the object of indicator 2.1;
- related processes such as transport, construction, maintenance, repair, replacement, end-of-life processes;
- operational use (energy, water), which are the object of indicators 1.1 and 3.1, respectively.






Figure 2. Flow chart of the process for making an assessment according to EN 15978<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Adapted from CEN 2011. EN 15978. Sustainability of construction works. Assessment of environmental performance of buildings. Calculation method.

## L2.2. Step 3b: Calculation rules relating to the life cycle stages

In order to make an assessment using indicator 1.2, it is important to understand the life cycle stages that are represented graphically in Figure 3. For each life cycle stage, there are associated calculation rules, which must be taken into account. More detailed descriptions of the modules within each life cycle stage are provided in table 2.




Figure 3. The stages in a building's life cycle<sup>3</sup>

### The system boundaries and life cycle stages for new and renovated buildings

The system boundary for **new buildings** shall encompass all the life cycle stages illustrated in Figure 3. For **major renovations** of existing buildings, the system boundary shall encompass all life cycle stages that relate to the extension of the building's service life. In practice, this means B1 onwards as the stages relating to the original production (A1-3) and construction (A4-5) have already taken place.

Any omission from the system boundary, for the purpose of making a performance assessment using the Level(s) framework, shall be clearly stated in the reporting. Guidance on the statements that should accompany the reporting is provided within this section.

For each life cycle stage (or module) to which impacts are assigned, the system shall include all upstream and downstream processes needed to establish and maintain the function of the building. This shall include the point where materials and energy exit the system boundary during or at the end of the building's life cycle – referred to in the reference standard EN 15978 as life cycle Module D.

<sup>3</sup> Adapted from CEN 2011. EN 15978. Sustainability of construction works. Assessment of environmental performance of buildings. Calculation method.

In some cases, existing buildings on the site to be developed may be need to be demolished prior to the construction of a new building, or an existing building may be the subject of strip out or remodelling works prior to a major renovation. In both of these cases, the benefits and loads arising from the recovery of demolition or strip out materials shall be considered to be outside of the system boundary. The benefits and loads must therefore be allocated to the previous building in order to avoid double counting.

Understanding the activities and processes assigned to each life cycle stage

In order to model and analyse a buildings life cycle performance, it is important to understand the concept of life cycle stages. EN 15978 defines four main life cycle stages and these are divided into modules to which environmental impacts associated with activities and processes are assigned. An overview of the life cycle stages and associated modules is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary descriptions and rules for the life cycle stages and modules

Life cycle stage	Modules	Description and rules
Production stage	A1-3	The boundary for modules A1 to A3 covers the 'cradle to gate' processes for the materials and services used in the construction; the rules for determining their impacts and aspects are defined in EN 15804.
	A3-4	The construction process stage covers the processes from the factory gate of the different construction products to the practical completion of the construction work.
Use stage	B1-5	The use stage covers the period from the practical completion of the construction work to the point of time when the building is deconstructed/demolished. The system boundary includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the use of construction products and services for protecting, conserving, moderating or controlling the building;</li> <li>- scenarios for maintenance including cleaning, operation and replacement of machinery;</li> <li>- impacts and aspects of the building-integrated technical system and building-related furniture, fixtures and fittings.</li> </ul>
	B6	The boundary shall include energy used by building-integrated technical systems during the operation of the building.
	B7	The boundary shall include all water used and its treatment (pre- and post-use) during the normal operation of the building (excluding during maintenance, repair, replacement and refurbishment),
End of life stage	C1-4	The end-of-life stage of a building starts when the building is decommissioned and is not intended to have any further use. At this point, the building's demolition/deconstruction may be considered as a multi-output process that provides a source of materials, products and building elements that are to be discarded, recovered, recycled or reused <sup>4</sup> .
Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary	D	Components for reuse and materials for recycling and energy recovery are considered as potential resources for future use. Module D quantifies the net environmental benefits or loads resulting from reuse, recycling and energy recovery resulting from the net flows of materials and exported energy exiting the system boundary.

<sup>4</sup> The scenarios for these end-of-life options for the products and materials determine the system boundary.

### **Learn more about:**

#### *Examples of how to assign impacts to life cycle stages and modules*

##### *Example 1: Repairs*

All impacts and aspects due to the unscheduled replacement of a broken window pane in the use stage, which includes production, transport, use of ancillary materials, packaging waste and recycling, are assigned to 'Repair', module B3.

##### *Example 2: Replacements*

All impacts and aspects due to the scheduled replacement of a complete window (glazing, frame, handles, and locks etc.) in the use stage, which includes production, transport, use of ancillary materials, packaging waste and recycling, are assigned to 'replacement', module B4.

##### *Example 3: Refurbishments*

All impacts and aspects due to the replacement of all the windows in a façade, (glazing, frame, handles, and locks etc.) as part of a major renovation in the use stage, which includes production, transport, use of ancillary materials, packaging waste and recycling, are assigned to 'refurbishment' module B5.

*Adapted from CEN (2011)*

#### The reference study period

The reference study period is the period over which the time-dependent characteristics of the building are to be analysed. Level(s) assessments shall be carried out for a reference study period of 50 years. Any deviations from this shall be clearly stated and reasons explained. The reference study period may differ from the required service life.

In addition, it should be noted that:

- Absolute impacts at pre-construction stage (Module A0), product stage (modules A1, A2, A3), construction/process stage (modules A4, A5), and end of life stage (modules C1-C4) are independent of the value of the reference study period.
- Absolute impacts of use stage (modules B1-B7 and B8), and benefits and loads presented in module D that come from modules B1-B7 and B8, are proportional to the length of the reference study period. The opposite applies when results are normalised to m<sup>2</sup> per year.
- Scenarios that compare refurbishment with demolition and new construction, and that potentially lead to an extension on the service life have to refer to an equivalent new building. The full value of impacts and aspects for both the actual required service life and the extension to the service life must be taken into account.

#### Simplified options for calculating life cycle GWP

It is anticipated that, over time, the better availability of data and software tools, as well as improved access to professional training, will facilitate the calculation of life cycle GWP across the EU. In the short term, however, Level(s) encourages design professionals to start calculating life cycle GWP by carrying out simplified assessments that focus on a reduced number of life cycle stages and building elements.

Two simplified approaches may be used. In option 1, a simplified approach may be adopted by focussing on the possible trade-off between the embodied impacts of construction materials and achieving a Net Zero Energy Building (NZEB) performance. This is particularly important because the impacts associated with the manufacture of construction materials will already have taken place upon completion of the building and, moreover, can be directly influenced by design decisions.

In addition to the embodied impacts associated with construction materials, the use stage modules relating to maintenance, repair and replacement (B2, 3 and 4) shall be based on the clients required service life for the building as well as scheduled maintenance, repairs and replacements of construction products.

In option 2, instead of looking at life cycle stages relating to repair and replacement, the focus is instead on the 'building material bank'. Stage D represents the net benefit of the materials used in the building if they were to be reused and/or recycled – sometimes referred to as the building material bank – and is also the starting point for considering whether a building is easy to deconstruct for reuse and recycling. The specific calculation rules stipulated in EN 15978 shall be followed.

Table 3. Indicator 1.2 simplified reporting options

<p><b>Simplified reporting option 1:</b> 'incomplete life cycle: product stage, calculated energy performance and projected service life'</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The product stage (A1-3)</li> <li>• The use stage (B4, B5, B6)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Simplified reporting option 2:</b> 'incomplete life cycle: product stage, calculated energy performance and the building material bank'</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The product stage (A1-3)</li> <li>• The use stage (B6)</li> <li>• End of life stage (C3-4)</li> <li>• Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary (D)</li> </ul>

Cut-off rules and data gaps

Cut-off rules exclude inputs and outputs in the life cycle modules according to specific criteria. They establish lower thresholds for the contribution of a material or process to either an impact or the material mass. The following rules laid down by EN 15804 for construction products shall be followed:

**Learn more about:**

*Calculation cut-off rules for establishing the building model*

- ✓ All inputs and outputs to a (unit) process shall be included in the calculation, for which data are available. Data gaps may be filled by conservative assumptions with average or generic data. Any assumptions for such choices shall be documented.
- ✓ In case of insufficient input data or data gaps for a unit process, the cut-off criteria shall be 1 % of renewable and non-renewable primary energy usage and 1 % of the total mass input of that unit process.
- ✓ The total of neglected input flows per module shall be a maximum of 5% of energy usage and mass. Conservative assumptions in combination with plausibility considerations and expert judgement can be used to demonstrate compliance with these criteria.
- ✓ Particular care should be taken to include material and energy flows known to have the potential to cause significant emissions into air and water or soil related to the environmental indicators of EN 15978. Conservative assumptions in combination with plausibility considerations and expert judgement can be used to demonstrate compliance with these criteria.

**L2.2. Step 4: Scenarios for the building life cycle**

Scenarios are an important concept when making an assessment with indicator 1.2. Life cycle scenarios describe assumptions in time about specific characteristics of a building, its location and how it will be used. These in turn can influence the performance of the building and associated impacts at each life cycle stage.

The scenarios may be refined as additional information and detail becomes known, and must be based on real-life data and/or professional evaluations. Where projections or probabilistic modelling are used as the basis for scenarios then the source and assumptions shall be reported. The box below outlines the main scenarios that are recommended as being developed by users of indicator 1.2.

#### **Learn more about:**

##### *Recommended scenarios to be developed when using indicator 1.2*

Scenarios and assumptions shall be developed to take into account the influences listed below. For each scenario, guidance and instructions are provided. Links shall be made to other Level(s) indicators which, if used, may provide data and assumptions:

- Comparative evaluation of scenarios for the **re-use of an existing building and its structure** compared to its demolition plus the construction of a new building, with reference to the bill of quantities of indicator 2.1;
- **design options and specifications to meet client requirements** (as expressed in the brief), with reference to manufacturers information about construction products, with reference to the bill of quantities of indicator 2.1;
- **service life planning**, with reference to input data from indicators 2.1 and 6.1;
- **present and (projected) future electricity grid emissions**, with reference to input data from indicator 1.1;
- **present and (projected) future climatic conditions**, with reference to input data from indicators 1.1 and 5.1;
- **property market assessments and predicted patterns of future use**, with reference to input data from indicator 2.3;
- **local and regional end of life and circular infrastructure**, with reference to input data from indicators 2.2 and 2.4

##### *Comparative evaluation of building renovation with demolition and new construction*

*Influence on the life cycle: modules A1-5*

This scenario will become increasingly important as the focus shifts from the performance of new buildings to large scale, deep renovation. Functional equivalence shall be established based on the clients brief. Design scenarios for different depths of renovation should be developed.

If the outcome is to renovate, then a further step would be the evaluation of renovation designs. The scope of the building elements could be narrowed based on the extent of the interventions to the existing building envelope that are planned, including primarily layout modifications/interventions, insulation, windows, HVAC, lighting, flooring and internal finishes. The calculations should ideally be cross-checked with dynamic energy simulation of the improvement in use stage primary energy demand.

##### *Design options and specifications to meet client requirements*

*Influence on the life cycle: modules A1-5, B5*

These scenarios relate to the design options that may be devised in response to client requirements. This could lead to distinct variations in the bill of materials and associated impacts for the designs. These could relate to new build and major renovation projects and could have an influence on modules A4-5 (in the case of new build) and B5 (in the case of major renovation).

Design processes may also, in conjunction with contractors, result in new scenarios for the construction process. These could also lead to different design bills of materials, as well as options for reducing construction waste and

improving efficiency – for example through off-site construction of pre-fabricated products or assemblies. These could have an influence on modules A4-5 and B5.

Service life planning

*Influence on the life cycle: modules B2-4*

Specific service lifespans for building parts and elements shall be estimated according to the factor methodology in ISO 15686-8. Specific standards relating to building elements may also be valuable, e.g. EN 15459 and heating systems. In the absence of estimations made by manufacturers and suppliers, generic lifespans shall be taken either from an LCA software, or a building costing tool or internal estimates used for the purpose of building management. Alternatively the default service lifespans provided in Table 4 shall be used.

**Learn more about:**

*Using data from a clients’ maintenance, repair and replacement plans*

Where the client will operate a long term management plan for building stock, such as in the case of social housing, this will make provisions for the maintenance, repair and replacement of building elements and components. Such a plan might typically include the following headings, which also align with those referred to under indicator 6.1: Life cycle costs:

- ✓ **Unscheduled replacement, repairs and maintenance costs:** These relate to unforeseen failure or damage before the design life expires. This might normally be estimated on the basis of probability.
- ✓ **Periodic predicted replacement, repairs and maintenance costs:** These relate to costs that reoccur during the service life, which can include the predicted wear out rates over time of elements or systems. For example, the repainting of window frames and external render, the repair/replacement of window glazed units, the repair/replacement of domestic boilers.
- ✓ **Periodic minor replacement, repair and maintenance costs:** These relate to components that may require interventions several times during the service life, but which on their own represent relatively minor costs each time. For example, parts of the external fit-out.
- ✓ **Periodic major planned replacement costs:** These relate to the planned replacement of major elements of the building upon expiry of their projected design life e.g. roofing, external render, cladding, windows and HVAC systems.

Further guidance can be found in section 5.4.2 of ISO 15686-5.

*Table 4. Default service lives for the minimum scope of building parts and elements*

Building parts	Related building elements	Expected lifespan
<b>Shell (substructure and superstructure)</b>		
Load bearing structural frame	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Frame (beams, columns and slabs)</li> <li>- Upper floors</li> <li>- External walls</li> <li>- Balconies</li> </ul>	60 years
Non-load bearing elements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ground floor slab</li> <li>- Internal walls, partitions and doors</li> <li>- Stairs and ramps</li> </ul>	30 years
Facades	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- External wall systems, cladding and shading devices</li> <li>- Façade openings (including windows and external doors)</li> </ul>	30 years (35 years glazed) 30 years

Building parts	Related building elements	Expected lifespan
	– External paints, coatings and renders	10 years (paint), 30 years (render)
Roof	– Structure – Weatherproofing	30 years
Parking facilities	– Above ground and underground (within the curtilage of the building and servicing the building occupiers) <sup>5</sup>	60 years
Core (fittings, furnishings and services)		
Fittings and furnishings	– Sanitary fittings – Cupboards, wardrobes and worktops – Floor finishes, coverings and coatings – Skirting and trimming – Sockets and switches – Wall and ceiling finishes and coatings	20 years 10 years 30 years (finishes), 10 years (coatings) 30 years 30 years 20 years (finishes), 10 years (coatings)
In-built lighting system	– Light fittings – Control systems and sensors	15 years
Energy system	– Heating plant and distribution – Radiators – Cooling plant and distribution – Electricity generation – Electricity distribution	20 years 30 years 15 years 15 years 30 years
Ventilation system	– Air handling units – Ductwork and distribution	20 years 30 years
Sanitary systems	– Cold water distribution – Hot water distribution – Water treatment systems – Drainage system	25 years
Other systems	– Lifts and escalators – Firefighting installations – Communication and security installations – Telecoms and data installations	20 years 30 years 15 years 15 years
External works		
Utilities	– Connections and diversions – Substations and equipment	30 years
Landscaping	– Paving and other hard surfacing – Fencing, railings and walls – Drainage systems	25 years 20 years 30 years

<sup>5</sup> If the share of underground car parking (usable area plus traffic area) accounts for more than 25% of the total useful floor area, the traffic area of the underground parking must be subtracted from the total useful floor area.



Adapted from RICS (2017), ETool (2017)

### Present and (projected) future electricity grid emissions

*Influence on the life cycle: module B6*

The scenarios for energy use (module B6) shall include (but not be limited to) primary energy consumed by use of the following systems: heating, cooling, ventilation, domestic hot water, lighting and control. This data shall be taken from **indicator 1.1** and will also include building-related energy production.

The scenario for module B6 shall specify, on a per energy carrier basis, both the imported energy used to satisfy the specified demand and the energy that is exported. The scenario shall specify how the imported and exported energy flows are quantified (e.g. the energy generation estimates for the renewable energy technology, including the amount of energy produced on site and how much of this is exported). Energy that is exported shall be reported under **Module D**.

#### **Learn more about:**

*Calculation rules for the use of electricity grid emissions projections*

The scenarios used shall also take account of the projected decarbonisation of the electricity grid for the country where the building is located. The projections used may be at EU or at national level and shall be taken from the EU PRIMES model <sup>6</sup>. EU and Member State data can be downloaded [here](#).

### Present and future (projected) climatic conditions

*Influence on the life cycle: stage B*

Climate conditions that are compatible and consistent with those required under European or national legislation for assessing the energy requirements of a building and that are representative of the location of the building, shall be used in the relevant scenarios. **Indicator 5.1** provides guidance on the selection of weather files based on IPCC scenarios and, in their absence, worst case scenarios based on existing weather files to use for climate change.

### Property market assessments and predicted patterns of future use

*Influence on the life cycle: A1-5, B5, building service life*

The potential of the building to adapt to changing needs and expectations in the property market may influence the pattern of future renovations, but also the overall service life of the building. **Indicator 2.3** provides a method for assessing the adaptability of a building on multiple aspects. Complementary scenarios shall be developed based on an understanding of the property market.

#### **Learn more about:**

*Calculation rules for scenario development rules for future adaptability*

Worst, intended and best case scenarios for continued future use of the building shall be identified in conjunction with a property market expert that has knowledge of the local and regional conditions:

- Worst case: Local precedents for low/zero occupation of buildings of the same use that have led to early demolition.
- Intended case: Local precedents that reflect the intended service life as defined by the client.

---

<sup>6</sup> European Commission, EU Reference Scenario 2016 - [https://ec.europa.eu/energy/data-analysis/energy-modelling/eu-reference-scenario-2016\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/data-analysis/energy-modelling/eu-reference-scenario-2016_en)

- Best case: Local precedents for continuation of the same use or changes of use that have avoided the need for demolition.

Based on this analysis, design precedents shall be identified and used to identify design pre-requisites for maintaining continued building use over time, as well as design deficiencies that may have contributed in the past to failure or voids.

The implications of adaptability measures that could be considered as part of the design shall be modelled, with a focus on their influence on life cycle stages A1-3 and B5 (Refurbishment). If a change of use is assumed, the use stage shall be modelled to reflect the new use over a second, default service life period. Variation from the default shall be justified.

If the life cycle GWP or LCA results are to be publicly reported, an independent critical review of the assumptions shall be carried out by a property market specialist and his or her opinion appended to the reporting.

### Local and regional end of life and circular infrastructure

Project-specific scenarios for the end of life of a building shall be built up from primary data for the technologies and solutions applicable by the construction and demolition sector in the geographical location. Default or reference end of life scenarios may be used in the absence of project-specific data. The default or reference scenario should ideally be built up from regional or national data, but if this is not available, EU data may be used.

Data on reuse, recycling, recovery and landfill rates, as well as the solutions and technologies used, shall be based on the following, where available:

- Default EU and Member State scenarios developed to support the use of LCA. For example, the DGNB assessment schemes LCA criterion <sup>7</sup> or publicly available LCA studies.
- Waste diversion rates that are based on Member State statistical data and surveys<sup>8</sup>. These may include information on the specific separation and treatment technologies used.
- Primary data for the specific deconstruction technologies and regional or local diversion rates. These may include 'cradle to gate with options' or 'cradle to grave' EPDs for specific building elements and materials and their possible end of life scenarios.

The EeBGuide project provides further technical guidance on defining end of life scenarios<sup>9</sup>.

#### **Learn more about:**

##### *Calculation rules for Scenario development rules for future deconstruction*

Local precedents and best case scenarios for the selective deconstruction of the same type of building in order to maximise reuse and recycling shall be identified in conjunction with a demolition contractor or waste management specialist that has knowledge of the local and regional practices.

The improvement in reuse and recycling in the end of life stage, including the benefits that could be reported under Modules C and D shall be calculated.

Assumptions relating to the ease of disassembly, reuse and recycling shall be based on solutions and technologies that have already proven to be economically and technically viable. In other words, assumptions should be based on existing solutions and technologies.

<sup>7</sup> The criterion document can be obtained by contacting DGNB - <http://www.dgnb.de/en/services/request-dgnb-criteria/form/>

<sup>8</sup> European Commission, *Resource efficient use of mixed wastes – Task 1 Member State factsheets*, [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/studies/mixed\\_waste.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/studies/mixed_waste.htm)

<sup>9</sup> EeBGuide Project, C-03 (Buildings) / C-08 (Products) LCA modelling of landfill/disposal, <http://www.eebguide.eu/?p=2197>

If the LCA results are to be publicly reported, an independent critical review of the assumptions shall be carried out by a demolition contractor or waste management expert, and their opinion appended to the reporting.

## L2.2. Steps 5 and 6: Data selection and quality

The degree of confidence that can be placed in the results of an assessment will depend upon the level of precision and detail provided by the data and the information used to model the building being assessed. Data collection and processing shall follow the guidance provided in EN ISO 14044:2006 and with reference to the data quality considerations of EN 15804 and the PEF method.

To understand how the data quality can be assessed, it is important to highlight that the calculation of life cycle GWP generally works at two levels:

- **Foreground processes**, which directly affect the results (e.g. the actual content of concrete in a column, the consumption of electricity during the occupation of a building)
- **Background processes**, which are linked to and are nested behind the foreground processes (e.g. the production and supply of concrete, the production and supply of grid electricity).

The quantification of data for both foreground and background processes may require a combination of:

- **Primary data**, which is site-specific information based on direct measurements or the characterisation of parameters for a certain context;
- **Secondary data**, which is available from technical literature and data providers (e.g. specific studies, LCA databases);
- **Assumptions**, especially when satisfactory data is not available.

For Level(s) assessments, the following data hierarchy of preference shall be used to prioritise the data used:

- use **specific data** derived from specific production processes,

This may include Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) describing a product that has been specified to be used in the construction and that has been calculated using specific data for at least the processes the producer of the specific product has influence over.

- use **average data** derived from specific production processes.

This may include EPDs describing average products and calculated using representative average data.

EPD information can be available on an aggregated level for a building part, for a building element, for a building component, or on the level of the product or material. Where relevant data for the building assessment is missing from an EPD, or where the scenario data is not relevant to the specific building being considered, data may be taken from other sources, provided that its relevance and appropriateness can be justified. Data shall be in line with the general principles expressed in EN 15804.

Where the producer of the building cannot influence performance (e.g. raw material extraction or electricity generation) generic data may be used for the processes.

### Learn more about:

#### *Minimum data quality requirements*

The environmental data is deemed to meet the Level(s) requirements for data quality if it is in accordance with the data quality requirements of EN 15804/prEN15941. If the environmental data are from other sources which are not accordance with EN 15804, the following minimum data quality requirements apply:

- data shall have been checked for plausibility and compliance with the rules of EN 15804;

- data should be as current as possible. the last update of the data shall not be older than 10 years for generic data and 5 years for manufacturer’s data;
- dataset for calculations should be based on one-year averaged data if relevant; reasons for a different assessment period shall be listed;
- emissions from disposal processes shall be accounted for at least 100 years, with the exception of emissions of biogenic carbon which shall be accounted for without time limit;
- emissions that occur beyond 100 years should be inventoried in a dataset as separate ‘long-term’ elementary flows and included in the impact assessment if relevant.

In addition:

- the technological processes associated with the product shall be representative of the declared product or product group;
- the technological processes shall be representative of the region where the production is located.

The relevance of the influence of the data chosen for the building assessment shall be determined (e.g. through a sensitivity analysis) and presented in the project report. The data used to calculate the impacts for products/ materials/ processes contributing together to at least 80 % of the absolute impact of GWP, or any other any core environmental indicators considered relevant, shall be reported on.

*Adapted from CEN (2019)*

#### Calculation of the Data Quality Index

Since Level 2 may be used for reporting the building’s environmental performance in the public domain, data quality becomes an important issue. A data quality index is to be calculated according to the method set out below and shall accompany any reporting in the public domain. The overall data quality index shall be >2. For transparency reasons, data sources shall also be reported.

The rating system has a matrix form, which is adapted from the European Commission’s Product Environmental Footprint method’s (PEF) data quality evaluation methodology. The rating is presented in Table 5 and is based on four parameters:

- Technological representativeness of data (TeR)
- Geographical representativeness of data (GR)
- Time-related representativeness of data (TiR)
- Uncertainty of data (U)

A rating level is to be evaluated for each parameter according to the matrix in the table below. The overall rating is equal to the Data Quality Index (DQI), which can be calculated from the individual ratings as follows:

$$DQI = ((TeR+GR+TiR)/3+U)/2$$

The rating shall be calculated for each hot spot of the environmental impacts identified from the life cycle GWP calculation<sup>10</sup>. Hot spots may be related to a building’s life cycle stages or modules, processes, components (elements, structural parts, products, materials) or elementary flow – or combinations thereof. For example, a hot spot could be the installation and replacement of a façade in life cycle modules B1-3 and B5. The rules for the identification of hot spots can be found in L2.2. Step 10.

---

<sup>10</sup> Hot spots are points in the life cycle of a product which have the highest impacts/importance in the overall life cycle GWP result .

The overall data quality shall then be calculated as the contribution-weighted average of the data quality for each hot-spot:

$$DQI \text{ overall} = \sum_i (DQI \text{ hot-spot},i \times \text{Contribution hot-spot},i) / \sum_i (\text{Contribution hot-spot},i)$$

Table 5. Data quality evaluation matrix

Rating aspect	Brief description of each aspect	Rating score			
		0	1	2	3
<i>Technological representativeness</i>	Degree to which the dataset reflects the true population of interest regarding technology (e.g. the technological characteristics, including operating conditions)	No evaluation made	The data used does not reflect satisfactorily the technical characteristics of the system (e.g. Portland Cement, without other specifications)	The data used reflects partially the technical characteristics of the system (e.g. Portland Cement type II, without further specifications)	The data used reflects the technical characteristics of the system (e.g. Portland Cement type II B-M)
<i>Geographical representativeness</i>	Degree to which the dataset reflects the true population of interest regarding geography (e.g. the given location/site, region, country, market, continent)	No evaluation made	The data used refer to a totally different geographic context (e.g. Sweden instead of Spain)	The data used refers to a similar geographic context (e.g. Italy instead of Spain)	The data used refers to the specific geographic context (e.g. Spain)
<i>Time-related representativeness</i>	Degree to which the dataset reflects the specific conditions of the system being considered regarding the time/age of the data (e.g. the given year compared to the reference year of the analysis)	No evaluation made	There are more than 6 years between the validity of the data used and the reference year to which the data applies.	There are between 2 and 4 years between the validity of the data used and the reference year to which the data applies.	There are less than 2 years between the validity of the data used and the reference year to which the data applies.
<i>Uncertainty</i>	Qualitative expert judgment or relative standard deviation expressed as a percentage.	No evaluation made	Modelled/similar data is used. Accuracy and precision of the data has been estimated qualitatively (e.g. by expert judgment of suppliers and process operators)	Modelled/similar data is used which is considered to be satisfactorily accurate and precise with the support of a quantitative estimation of its uncertainty (e.g. representative data from trade associations for which a sensitivity	Site specific and validated data is used which is considered to be satisfactorily accurate and precise (e.g. window system for which a verified EPD is available) The allocation hierarchy has been respected.

Rating aspect	Brief description of each aspect	Rating score			
		0	1	2	3
				analysis has been carried out).	

## L2.2. Step 10: Carrying out a hot spot analysis

Once the calculations have been carried out and the first results obtained, it is possible to make a hot spot analysis. Hot spots are the life cycle stages, processes or direct elementary flows that contribute most to the overall life cycle GWP of the building. Hot spot analysis can support design improvements and strategic improvement plans, as well as providing a feedback loop to refine some of the scenarios developed based on the guidance in L2.5.

### Learn more about:

#### *Calculation rules for the identification of life cycle GWP hot spots*

- The most relevant life cycle stages are the life cycle stages which together contribute to at least 80% of any of the most relevant impact categories identified (i.e. GWP). This should start from the largest to the smallest contributions.
- The most relevant processes shall be identified by analysing the most significant contributors to the most relevant life cycle stages, as identified in the previous step. The most relevant processes are those which together contribute to at least 80% to any of the most relevant impact categories identified.
- The most relevant direct elementary flows are defined as those direct elementary flows contributing cumulatively at least with 80% to the total impact of the direct elementary flows of the process, for each most relevant impact category.

In all cases, if the use stage accounts for more than 50% of the total impact then the procedure shall be re-run by excluding the use stage. In this case, the list of most relevant life cycle stages shall be those selected through the latter procedure plus the use stage. Specific instructions about aggregating elemental flows can be found in the PEF guidance <sup>11</sup>.

*Source: Product Environmental Footprint category rules guidance, version 6.3 (2018)*

## L2.6. Going a step further: Cradle to grave Life Cycle Assessment

The opportunity exists with indicator 1.2 to go further by selecting the full set of environmental impact category indicators specified in the table below instead of just GWP. The results will be obtained by applying the same methodology for each of the impact categories to the calculation of environmental impacts for the life cycle inventory. This would represent a cradle to grave Life Cycle Assessment (LCA).

*Table 6. EN 15804 and EN 15978 core environmental impact category indicators*

Impact category	Indicator	Unit
Climate change – total <sup>a</sup>	Global Warming Potential total (GWP-total)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.
Climate change - fossil	Global Warming Potential fossil fuels (GWP-fossil)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.
Climate change - biogenic	Global Warming Potential biogenic (GWP-biogenic)	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.

<sup>11</sup> European Commission, PEFCR Guidance document, - Guidance for the development of Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules (PEFCRs), version 6.3, December 2017. [https://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/pdf/PEFCR\\_guidance\\_v6.3.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/pdf/PEFCR_guidance_v6.3.pdf)

Impact category	Indicator	Unit
Climate change - land use and land use change <sup>b</sup>	Global Warming Potential land use and land use change (GWP-luluc)	kg CO2 eq.
Ozone Depletion	Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer (ODP)	kg CFC 11 eq.
Acidification	Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance (AP)	mol H+ eq.
Eutrophication aquatic freshwater	Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment (EP-freshwater)	kg PO4 eq.
Eutrophication aquatic marine	Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment (EP-marine)	kg N eq.
Eutrophication terrestrial	Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance (EP-terrestrial)	mol N eq.
Photochemical ozone formation	Formation potential of tropospheric ozone (POCP);	kg NMVOC eq.
Depletion of abiotic resources - minerals and metals <sup>c d</sup>	Abiotic depletion potential (ADP-minerals&metals) for non-fossil resources	kg Sb eq.
Depletion of abiotic resources - fossil fuels <sup>c</sup>	Abiotic depletion potential (ADP-fossil) for fossil resources	MJ, net calorific value
Water use	Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption (WDP)	m3 world eq. deprived
<p>a The total global warming potential (GWP) is the sum of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- GWP-fossil</li> <li>- GWP-biogenic</li> <li>- GWP-luluc</li> </ul> <p>b It is permitted to omit GWP-luluc as separate information if its contribution is &lt;5 % of GWP-total over the declared modules excluding module D.</p> <p>c The abiotic depletion potential is calculated and declared in two different indicators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ADP-minerals&amp;metals include all non-renewable, abiotic material resources (i.e. excepting fossil resources);</li> <li>- ADP-fossil include all fossil resources and includes uranium.</li> </ul> <p>d ultimate reserve model of the ADP-minerals &amp; metals model</p>		

Source: CEN (2019)

# MR Credit: Building Life-Cycle Impact Reduction

This credit applies to

- ▶ BD+C: New Construction (1-5 points)
- ▶ BD+C: Core & Shell (1-6 points)
- ▶ BD+C: Schools (1-5 points)
- ▶ BD+C: Retail (1-5 points)
- ▶ BD+C: Data Centers (1-5 points)
- ▶ BD+C: Warehouses & Distribution Centers (1-5 points)
- ▶ BD+C: Hospitality (1-5 points)
- ▶ BD+C: Healthcare (1-5 points)

## INTENT

To encourage adaptive reuse and optimize the environmental performance of products and materials.

## REQUIREMENTS

NC, CS, SCHOOLS, RETAIL NC, DATA CENTERS, WAREHOUSES & DISTRIBUTION CENTERS, HOSPITALITY NC, HEALTHCARE

Demonstrate reduced environmental effects during initial project decision-making by reusing existing building resources or demonstrating a reduction in materials use through life-cycle assessment. Achieve one of the following options.

### Option 1. Building and Material Reuse (1-5 points BD+C, 2-6 points Core and Shell)

Maintain the existing building structure, envelope, and interior nonstructural elements. Reused or salvaged materials from off site that are incorporated into the building can also contribute to the credit calculations. However, reuse materials contributing toward this credit may not contribute toward MR Credit Material Disclosure and Optimization – Sourcing of Raw Materials.

Historic, abandoned, or blighted buildings: Portions of buildings deemed structurally unsound or hazardous can be excluded from the credit calculations.

Path 1 and 2 reward projects that reuse structural and/or nonstructural elements based on the project area. Path 1 and 2 can be combined for points.

#### **Path 1: Maintain Existing Structural Elements: Walls, Floors, Roofs, and Envelope (1-5 points BD+C, 2-6 points Core & Shell):**

Maintain the existing building structure (including floor and roof decking) and envelope (the exterior skin and framing, excluding window assemblies and nonstructural roofing materials). Calculate reuse of the existing project area according to Table 1.

**Table 1. Path 1 Points for reuse of existing building structural elements**

<i>Percent of existing walls, floors and roof reuse by project area</i>	<i>Points BD+C</i>	<i>Points – Core &amp; Shell</i>
---	--------------------	----------------------------------



15%	1	2
30%	2	3
45%	3	4
60%	4	5
75%	5	6

AND/OR

**Path 2: Maintain Interior Nonstructural Elements (1 point)**

Use existing interior nonstructural elements (e.g. interior walls, doors, floor coverings and ceiling systems) for at least 30% of the entire completed building, including additions.

OR

**Option 2. Whole-Building Life-Cycle Assessment (1-4 points)**

For new construction (buildings or portions of buildings), conduct a cradle-to-grave life-cycle assessment of the project’s structure and enclosure and select one or more of the following paths below to earn up to 4 points:

Path 1: Conduct a life cycle assessment of the project’s structure and enclosure (1 point).

Path 2: Conduct a life cycle assessment of the project’s structure and enclosure that demonstrates a minimum of 5% reduction, compared with a baseline building in at least three of the six impact categories listed below, one of which must be global warming potential (2 points).

Path 3: Conduct a life cycle assessment of the project’s structure and enclosure that demonstrates a minimum of 10% reduction, compared with a baseline building, in at least three of the six impact categories listed below, one of which must be global warming potential (3 points).

Path 4: Meet requirements of Path 3 and incorporate reuse and/or salvage materials into the project’s structure and enclosure for the proposed design. Demonstrate reductions compared with a baseline building of at least 20% reduction for global warming potential and demonstrate at least 10% reduction in two additional impact categories listed below (4 points).

For Paths 2, 3 and 4 listed above, no impact category assessed as part of the life-cycle assessment may increase by more than 5% compared with the baseline building. Include a narrative of how the life cycle assessment was conducted and if applicable for paths 2, 3 and 4 what changes were made to proposed buildings in order to achieve the related impact reductions.

The baseline and proposed buildings must be of comparable size, function, orientation, and operating energy performance as defined in EA Prerequisite Minimum Energy Performance. The service life of the baseline and proposed buildings must be the same and at least 60 years to fully account for maintenance and replacement. Baseline assumptions must be based on standard design and material selection for the project location and building type. Use the same life-cycle assessment software tools and data sets to evaluate both the baseline building and the proposed building, and report all listed impact categories. Data sets must be compliant with ISO 14044.

Select at least three of the following impact categories for reduction:

- ▶ global warming potential (greenhouse gases), in kg CO<sub>2</sub>e;

- ▶ depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer, in kg CFC-11e;
- ▶ acidification of land and water sources, in moles H<sup>+</sup> or kg SO<sub>2</sub>e;
- ▶ eutrophication, in kg nitrogen eq or kg phosphate eq;
- ▶ formation of tropospheric ozone, in kg NO<sub>x</sub>, kg O<sub>3</sub> eq, or kg ethene; and
- ▶ depletion of nonrenewable energy resources, in MJ using CML / depletion of fossil fuels in TRACI.

## **GUIDANCE**

Refer to the LEED v4 reference guide, with the following additions and modifications:

### **Behind the Intent**

#### **Beta Update**

Updates in v4.1 include a greater focus on reducing embodied carbon of building structures and enclosures. Changes to this credit are intended to incentivize reuse of existing buildings and components, as well as make building reuse calculations simpler. Further, if buildings or building elements cannot be re-used significantly, changes to the lifecycle analysis option of the credit encourage projects to conduct whole building life cycle assessment as an integral design component for many more buildings.

Former LEED v4 credit Option 1 (Historic Building reuse) and Option 2 (Renovation of Abandoned and Blighted Building) have been combined into Option 1 for v4.1. The former Credit Option 3 (Building and Material Reuse) now is replaced with a consolidated option 1 and includes two pathways for calculating building reuse. These changes consolidate the calculation methodology for all types of reuse and restore the LEED v2009 credit pathways (MR c1.1: Building reuse – maintain existing walls, floors and roofs; and MR c1.2: Building reuse – maintain interior nonstructural elements) that provided simpler, more prescriptive calculations. Projects that have experienced difficulty in selecting and demonstrating a combination of structural and non-structural reuse in v4 now have the option of selecting either one or both types of re-use (structural and/or non-structural interior) depending on project scope.

Credit Option 2 (formerly Option 4), Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment, now has an entry pathway that rewards the effort to conduct a whole building life-cycle assessment without having to demonstrate specific impact reductions. Further, a second point is now possible for showing 5% reductions compared to a baseline for three impact categories including global warming potential (GWP). The third point in this option remains unchanged to the v4 WBLCA credit requirements (10% reductions compared to the baseline in three impact categories including GWP). Finally, to greater reward embodied carbon reductions through reuse, project teams can now earn up to four points in Credit Option 4 by incorporating building element reuse and/or salvaged materials into a project's structure and enclosure and perform a life cycle assessment that shows at least a 20% reduction in global warming potential and at least 10% reduction in two other impact categories.

### **Step-by-Step Guidance**

Refer to LEED v4 reference guide with the following additions and modifications.

#### **Option 1: Building and Material Reuse**

New general guidance for v4.1 applicable to Paths 1 and 2:

Identify non-structural and structural elements of the existing space (e.g. walls, floors, roofs, doors, floor coverings, ceiling systems, etc.) that can be retained separately and in combination to select best pathway/approach for re-use (Path 1 or Path 2). Include elements reused onsite and/or salvaged from offsite as part of the reuse calculations.

Projects that incorporate part of an existing building but do not meet the requirements for this credit may apply the reused portion toward the achievement of MR Credit Sourcing of Raw Materials. To apply the reused portion for the Sourcing of Raw Material credit, determine the cost of each material. This

cost will be the actual cost paid or, if the material came from on-site, the replacement value. The replacement value can be determined by pricing a comparable material in the local market; exclude labor and shipping. If a project team receives a discount from a vendor, the replacement value should reflect the discounted price as opposed to the list value. When the actual cost paid for the reused or salvaged material is below the cost of an equivalent new item, use the higher value (actual cost) cost of the new equivalent item in the calculations. When the cost to reclaim an item found on-site is less than the cost of an equivalent new item, use the cost of the new item (or replacement cost).

## **Option 2: Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment**

Collect information needed to perform life cycle assessment of structure and enclosure of the building.

Follow standard process associated with performing a typical whole building life cycle assessment. In general this can be broken down into: 1) Define goal and scope of assessment, 2) Collect information about materials and scenarios, 3) Perform calculations for impacts using reliable LCA assessment tools, 4) Understand and interpret results, and 5) Document process and produce detailed assessment reports.

Ensure that the scope of the analysis is a cradle-to-grave assessment which includes environmental impacts associated with the life-cycle stages for the building structure and enclosure. Follow the LEED v4 reference guide for minimum requirements for LCA related to products, functional equivalence, service life and system boundary under Step 1 with the following clarifications:

- ▶ The system boundary of the analysis must include a cradle to grave scope (modules A-D). However, some gaps in sub-modules may exist due to the materials or dataset chosen and design optimizations attempted for the project. Gaps in sub-modules are allowed so long as the system boundary in total encompasses a cradle-to-grave assessment. The required modules for a compliant whole building life-cycle analysis include:
  - Product stage: include modules A1-A3.
  - Construction process: include at least module A4.
  - Use Stage: Include at least one module from B1-B5.
  - End of life stage: Include at least one module from C1-C4.
- ▶ For projects demonstrating impact reductions compared to a baseline: The LCA software or tool used for the baseline and proposed design must be the same, with the same modules and impact categories evaluated.
- ▶ Note that LCA software or tools must have ISO-14044-compliant data sets and conform to ISO 21931-2017 and/or EN 15978:2011 and their data must meet the requirements of ISO 21930-2017 and EN 15804. Typically, the software tool providers will document they meet these criteria in the LCA output report.

### ***Additional Guidance for Whole Building LCA Tool Providers:***

The system boundary of a whole building LCA must include modules A-D as defined in ISO 21930 and EN 15804. LCA tools must have ISO-14044-compliant data sets and conform to ISO 21931-2017 and/or EN 15978:2011. Further, the underlying data must meet the requirements of ISO 21930-2017 and EN 15804.

- ▶ If LCI data is not available for certain products, LCA tools can incorporate product EPD data into their ISO 14044 compliant LCI datasets as long as:
  - The EPD has not expired.
  - The EPD scenarios are representative of contemporary technologies and/or practice, and are relevant to the project location.
  - The EPD data reports all indicators and system boundary information required by WBLCA tools.

- The EPD or LCA clearly indicates which product (manufacturer and product name) or geographical region it reflects in compared to industrywide results of a material available in the tool.

## Further Explanation Calculations

### Option 1: Building and Material Reuse

The v4 calculation of formerly credit Option 3. Building and Material Reuse in LEED v4 reference guide has been changed. Steps 1 and 3 have been removed. Step 2 (Reuse off-site materials) remains in effect.

The LEED v4 Building and Material Reuse concept and calculation for “surface area and layers of reuse” is no longer utilized. Instead, project teams will calculate reuse as follows below for Path 1 and Path 2.

#### Path 1 Calculations: Maintain Existing Structural Elements: Walls, Floors, Roofs and Envelope

The reuse calculation is based on the surface areas of major existing structural and envelope elements per equation 3. Structural support elements such as columns and beams are considered part of the larger surfaces they support, so they are not quantified separately.

Prepare a spreadsheet listing all envelope and structural elements within the existing building prior to construction or renovation. Quantify each item, listing the square footage of both the existing area and the retained area. Determine the percentage of existing elements that are retained by dividing the square footage of the total retained materials area by the square footage of the total existing materials area. Include any salvaged or reused materials that were sourced off-site and integrated into the project as part of the reused area in the calculations.

Take measurements as if preparing a bid for construction of a building. For structural floors and roof decking, calculate the square footage of each component. For existing exterior walls and existing walls adjoining other buildings or additions, calculate the square footage of the exterior wall only and subtract the area of exterior windows and exterior doors from both the existing and the reused area tallies. For interior structural walls (e.g., shear walls), calculate the square footage of one side of the existing wall element. Table 1 provides an example of the calculations for Path 1.

Table 1. Sample Building Structure and Envelope Reuse Calculation for Path 1.

Structure/Envelope Element	Existing Area (sf)	Reused Area (sf)	Percentage Reused (%)
Foundation/slab on Grade	11,520	11,520	100.0%
2nd floor Deck	11,520	10,000	86.8%
1st floor interior structural Walls	240	240	100.0%
2nd floor interior structural Walls	136	136	100.0%
Roof Deck	11,520	11,520	100.0%
South Exterior Wall (excl. windows)	8,235	8,235	100.0%

East Exterior Wall (excl. windows)	6,535	6,535	100.0%
West Exterior Wall (excl. windows)	6,535	5,820	89.1%
<i>Off-site salvage - brick façade for north exterior wall (excl. windows)</i>	8,235	5,500	66.8%
<i>Off-site salvage - structural beams for new stairwell</i>	650	650	100.0%
<b>total</b>	<b>65,126</b>	<b>60,156</b>	<b>92.4%</b>

Exclude the following items from this calculation: nonstructural roofing material, window assemblies, structural and envelope materials that are deemed structurally unsound, hazardous materials, and materials that pose a contamination risk to building occupants.

Equation 3. Percentage of existing building reuse – maintain existing structural elements:

$$\text{Existing building reuse} = \frac{\text{area reused on-site} + \text{area reused from off-site}}{\text{existing building area}} \times 100$$

Path 2 Calculations: Maintain Interior Nonstructural Elements

This pathway focuses on reuse of interior, nonstructural elements and compares the retained and reused elements with the total completed area of interior elements. It is not necessary to calculate the total area of existing interior nonstructural elements prior to demolition. Include any salvaged or reused materials that were sourced off-site and integrated into the project as part of the reused area in the calculations.

Take measurements as if preparing a bid for flooring, ceiling, or painting:

- ▶ Finished ceilings and flooring areas (tile, carpeting, etc.). Use square footage or square meters to determine area.
- ▶ Interior nonstructural walls. Determine the finished area between floor and ceiling and count both sides.
- ▶ Exterior structural and party walls. If the interior finishes (e.g., drywall and plaster) have been reused, count only one side.
- ▶ Interior doors. Count surface area once.
- ▶ Interior casework. Calculate the visible surface area of the assembly.

Include items that have been saved but may have been relocated, such as full-height demountable walls and doors that were rehung. Also include reused items purchased or sourced off-site from other buildings or projects, such as from salvage yards or donations.

Fixed items, such as nonstructural walls and doors, are included in this credit and count toward the percentage of reuse when they perform the same function (e.g., doors reused as doors). If materials are used for another purpose (e.g., doors made into tables), they can count toward the achievement of MR Credit: Sourcing of Raw Materials, but they cannot count toward both credits.

Table 2 illustrates a spreadsheet for determining credit compliance. The total area of all new and existing building materials (following construction) is determined. The total area of only the existing and reused components is then entered. The sum of the existing materials is then divided by the sum of the total building materials to obtain the overall percentage of retained components. Since the overall percentage of reused nonstructural interior materials exceeds 30% of the total area of all nonstructural interior building materials, the project earns 1 point.

Table 2. Sample Interior Nonstructural Element Reuse Calculation for Path 2.

Interior Non-Structural Element	Total Area* (sf)	Existing/ Reused (sf)	Percentage Reused (%)
Gypsum Board Wall Partitions – full Height	5,400	2,500	46.3%
Gypsum Board Wall Partitions – Partial Height	650	650	100.0%
Carpeting	15,000	0	0.0%
Resilient flooring	350	350	100.0%
Ceramic tile	150	150	100.0%
Suspended ceiling systems	10,400	6,500	62.5%
Gypsum Board ceilings	350	350	100.0%
Interior Doors (Wood)	525	420	80.0%
Interior Windows / sidelights	56	56	100.0%
<i>Offsite salvage: interior Doors (Metal)</i>	86	86	100.0%
<i>Offsite salvage: interior casework / cabinetry</i>	235	235	100.0%
<b>totals</b>	<b>33,202</b>	<b>11,297</b>	<b>34.0%</b>
*note: the total area calculation includes both new and existing/reused materials.			

Determine the percentage of existing elements that are retained by dividing the total area of all retained interior nonstructural elements by the total area of interior nonstructural elements following Equation 4.

Equation 4: Percentage of existing building reuse - maintain interior nonstructural elements:

$$\text{Interior nonstructural reuse} = \frac{(\text{area of retained interior nonstructural elements} + \text{area of elements reused from offsite})}{\text{total area of interior nonstructural elements}} \times 100$$

Projects that incorporate part of an existing building for reuse but do not meet the requirements for Path 2 may apply the reused portion toward the achievement of MR Credit Construction and Demolition Waste Management. To do so, determine an approximate weight or volume for existing building elements and count them as waste diversion in the credit calculations.

### Option 2 (Formerly Option 4): Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment

Refer to Option 4 in the LEED v4 reference guide with the following modifications and additions:

This option now has four thresholds outlined in four pathways. To achieve one point, the project must conduct a compliant lifecycle assessment of the project's structure and enclosure. To earn additional points, the proposed building must demonstrate a reduction in global warming potential and in two of five other impact categories when compared to a baseline building and include a narrative to explain the changes being made to proposed buildings in order to achieve the impact reductions (see credit requirements).

Developing an appropriate baseline building is necessary for a compliant WBLCA to show reductions in global warming potential and other impacts. Product and material environmental characteristics in the

baseline building must reflect standard design practices and typical material selection choices for the project location and building type. For instance, assuming zero-percent recycled content in some metal products or concrete mixes does not reflect typical practice in North America. Project teams should look to common project types in the region and review industry resources in order to develop accurate baselines for claimed impact reductions. In documenting the credit, project teams will need to include a description of why the baseline structure and enclosure systems represent typical construction for the project, location, and building type.

Within Option 2, choose Path 1 (whole building life cycle analysis of the project) and/or Path 2, 3 or 4 (comparative whole-building life cycle analysis) as outlined in credit requirements. Note that for Path 1, project teams must complete a standard Whole Building Life Cycle Assessment (WBLCA) of the proposed design and report the impact categories in a WBLCA report, however there are no thresholds for reductions necessary to earn this point.

For Path 2, 3 and 4 as mentioned above, project teams must conduct a comparative WBLCA analysis and include a narrative summarizing differences between baseline and proposed building that contribute to the differences in LCA results. Points can be achieved as follows:

- ▶ 2 points (3 points Core & Shell) – demonstrated impact reduction of at least 5% in Global Warming Potential and at least 2 other impact categories.
- ▶ 3 points (4 points Core & Shell) – demonstrated impact reduction of at least 10% in Global Warming Potential and at least 2 other impact categories.
- ▶ 4 points (5 points Core & Shell) – demonstrated impact reduction of 20% in Global Warming Potential and at least 10% in at least 2 other impact categories. This option must also incorporate reuse and/or salvaged materials as a part of the proposed structure or enclosure design. Strategies that offset significant amounts of embodied GWP include reuse of foundations, concrete structures, metal systems, and other high-impact structural materials. Reuse elements may be from on-site or off-site, and should be modeled following guidelines for small scale reuse (see Further Explanation, Small Scale Reuse in LEED v4), these guidelines should also be used for large scale reuse incorporation in Path 4 for LEED v4.1.

#### **Life-cycle impact measures or indicators**

Refer to LEED v4 reference guide with the following additions:

Reporting of impact category results: Report impacts in units of “per square foot”, rounded to the nearest  $10^{-4}$  for all six impact categories.

#### **Required Documentation**

Documentation requirements for former Option 1 (historic building reuse) and Option 2 (renovation of abandoned or blighted building) are now included under the restructured Option 1: Building and Material Reuse.

Documentation requirement for Option 1 Building and Material Reuse:

- ▶ Path 1: Structural and nonstructural reused elements table and calculations
- ▶ Path 2: Interior nonstructural reused elements table and calculations

Documentation requirement for Option 2, Whole Building LCA:

- ▶ WBLCA report for structure and enclosure of building

Documentation requirement for Option 2, Whole Building LCA Path 2, 3 and 4:

- ▶ WBLCA report that includes description of LCA assumptions, scope and analysis process for baseline building and proposed building, life cycle impact assessment summary showing outputs of proposed building with percent change from baseline building for all impact

categories, and a narrative indicating which path was pursued and how reductions were achieved.

#### **Exemplary Performance**

- ▶ Option 1: Path 1: Reuse 90% of the building
- ▶ Option 2: Achieve Path 4 and show 40% reduction in GWP.

#### **Connection to Ongoing Performance**

- ▶ LEED O+M MR prerequisite Waste Performance: The concept above is a collection of strategies that can help achieve MR prerequisite Waste Performance in the O+M v4.1 rating system.